

Water Rate Study

City of Manzanita

Final Report

October 2023



Prepared by: Oregon Association of Water Utilitie

Table of Contents

Executive Summary:	i
Current Revenues / Expenditures:	i
User Characteristics:	iii
Cost Evaluations:	iii
Rates:	iv
Existing Rates:	v
Preliminary Observations:	v
Meter Multiplier Base Rate:	v
Consumption Rates:	viii
Introduction:	- 1 -
Table 1: Proposed Budget Information	- 2 -
Table 2: Cost per Unit for Delivery	- 3 -
Table 3: Current Rate Information	- 5 -
Cost Evaluations:	- 6 -
Rate Study Approach:	- 6 -
Affordability Index:	- 7 -
Table 4: Median Household Income – Tillamook County	- 7 -
Historical Rates:	- 7 -
System Data:	- 8 -
Table 5: System Data	- 8 -
System Data Spreadsheet	- 9 -
Existing Rates:	- 10 -
Table 6: Existing Rates	- 10 -
Existing Rates spreadsheet:	- 11 -
Preliminary Observations:	- 12 -
Preliminary Observation Spreadsheets:	- 13 -
Meter Multiplier:	- 14 -
Table 7: Meter Cost Equivalencies / Dollar Ratios	- 14 -
Table 8: Meter Multiplier Revenues	- 15 -
MM Cost Spreadsheet:	- 16 -
Increase Consumption Rate: (Ascending Blocks)	- 17 -

Table 9: Current vs Proposed Rates	- 18 -
Table 10: Tier Rate Recommendations	- 19 -
Ascending Rates Spreadsheets:	- 20 -
Annual Rate Adjustments:	- 24 -
Table 11: Annual Rate Increases	- 25 -
Summary:	- 25 -
Table 12: Capital Improvement Planning	- 26 -
Chart: Water Usage – Hypothetical Monthly Cost	- 27 -
Chart: Water Tracking – Revenue and Water Loss	- 28 -

Executive Summary:

The City of Manzanita called upon the Oregon Association of Water Utilities to conduct a water rate study to determine the adequacy of the water rates in conjunction with the adopted budget for the 2022-2023 fiscal year and anticipating the 2023-2024 budget is similar. With subsequent adjustments for the years 2024-2027, use of the consumer price index will provide examples of such adjustments. The purpose of the study was to develop financial assistance and rates that:

- Provide examples of rates which meet the projected capital and operation and maintenance (O&M) costs of the system.
- Determine equitable costs among the different types of system users.
- Encourage efficient use of the water.
- Are relatively simple to administer, understand, and are consistent with industry standards.

The water rate study stems from a justification of a single expenditure line created and managed by the city's administration office and the public works department. This figure includes personnel services, materials, and services, contingency funding, capital improvement and debt service. The capital improvement costs are reviewed in this study and implemented to align system costs to future rates. The current rates are figured using a base rate and consumption rate deployed to create revenues that match total expenditures. The rates are calculated on a single unit of water, one thousand gallons.

Current Revenues / Expenditures:

Proposed revenue requirements for the fiscal year 2023-2024 are \$1,687,953.76. This budget is associated with capital improvement money at \$316,233.76 per year for the next three years. It is an adjusted cost, fixed over three years to reflect the higher priority projects listed in the CIP Worksheet, focused on categories A and B. A series of scenarios were developed to align with future projects, scenarios that applied designated reserves for a prioritized list of capital projects, with an emphasis of the distribution areas with the highest concern for water loss.

The base rate revenues equal \$928,725.00 or 55.02 percent of the total proposed budget. Common practice when budgeting for a water fund is to have base rates cover fixed expenditures, which range from 60-75 percent, pending the size of the water system relating to total number of service connections. An annual adjustment to the base rate should be initiated, the last adjustment was October 1, 2014. The 2014 increase to the SFR user was \$5.00 per single family residential (SFR) dwelling, equaling 12 percent to the base rate. This 12 percent increase as the last increase to any water rates since 2014. Using the Consumer Price Index (CPI) adjustments to water rates can match fluctuations corresponding to inflation but will not likely cover expenses associated with capital planning expenses. More details about the CPI will follow in the main report.

The existing consumption rate (a charge per unit of water) is \$2.50, with four (4) units of water allowance in the base rate for only residential customers inside the city and \$3.25 per unit, outside city. Considerations in creating an "increased block rate" will be provided as a means to affiliate with Oregon Water Resources rules on water conservation.

Consumption revenues are disordered in a way the allowance in the base rates for SFR dwellings is more than the average total water sold each year. The combination of base and consumption rates total 65.91 percent of the proposed budget or \$1,112,449.38 dollars, with a shortfall of \$575,504.38.

Table 1: Current Rate Inf	Table 1: Current Rate Information							
Service Connections Size (in.)	# of connections	Allowance (Units) ¹	Base Rate	Unit Rate Cost	Average Consumption ³	Typical Monthly Cost		
3/4 Residential	1,408	0	\$39.50	\$2.50	3.32	\$39.50		
3/4 Commercial ²	32	0	\$39.50	\$2.50		NA		
1.0 Commercial	20	0	\$55.00	\$2.50		NA		
1.5 Commercial	1	0	\$70.75	\$2.50		NA		
2.0 Commercial	2	0	\$114.00	\$2.50		NA		
3.0 Commercial	2	0	\$427.50	\$2.50		NA		
3/4 Residential - out	370	0	\$49.25	\$3.25	3.32	\$49.25		
1.0 Commercial - out	3	0	\$68.75	\$3.25		NA		
2.0 Commercial - out	1	0	\$88.50	\$3.25		NA		
3.0 Commercial - out	1	0	\$142.50	\$3.25		NA		
2.0 Bulk	2	0	\$213.75	\$3.25		NA		
Total Connections	1,842							
Total Annual Base ⁴			\$ 928,725.00					
Total Annu	al Consumption ⁵		\$ 183,724.38		_			
Combined Bas	Combined Base and Consumption ⁶				65.91%			
Proposed Budget			\$1,687,953.76					

¹⁻⁴ units = 4,000 gallons, 2-Commercial only, tier one price per unit at the onset of consumption, 3 – Average monthly usage – single family residential - SFR

^{4 –} Annual base is all monthly fees for all connections, minus revenues from water sales, 5 – Too much water is allowed in base rate, exceeds total water sold, 6-based only on new budget, not past budget(s)

The single imbalance discovered during this study is the charge per unit rate at \$2.50 and \$3.25 per unit, while the delivery costs per unit incurred by the City is established at \$20.84 per unit or \$0.02084 per gallon. An example of the imbalance is:

- 4,000 gallons allowance cost of production / delivery \$83.36
- Base rate charge including 4,000 gallons \$39.50 or -\$43.86
- 8,000 gallons consumption cost of production / delivery \$166.72
- Base rate charge with consumption charges \$49.50 or \$-117.22

If the City of Manzanita's current base rates were to remain in place, the minimum charge should be \$24.50 per unit. As water usage is charged per 1000 gallons, there is four units (4,000 gallons) allowance provided in the base rate to only residential users. Though not all users consume 4,000 gallons per month, it is suggested to reduce the allowance of water to two units, 2,000 gallons. Table 1: Current Rate Information (page ii) is a snapshot of the classification of users, numbers within each class and their respective costs associated with the average usage for the SFR dwelling.

The City of Manzanita currently uses a meter and water consumption for water revenues, the total number of service connections is 1,842 which conform to the usual residential and commercial entities. The City of Manzanita serves two (2) outside water districts that receive the water from the main transmission line that supplies the city. All sized service connections within the city limits are charged a set base rate, while the commercial service connections are charged according to the size of the meter.

The proposed format will simply use the number of service connections the water system serves, then consider the base rate determined by the size of the meter. The meter base rate recommended with this study applies a meter ratio according to American Water Works Association (AWWA) meter ratios as it relates to infrastructure replacement costs, the same ratios used in the 2014 water rate study. Meter multiplier format will be clarified on page 14 in the main document.

User Characteristics:

Equitable fees assessed to customers begin with a determination of the type of users. For the City of Manzanita, the classification of customers is categorized as follows:

- 1,408 Single-family inside residential (SFR) = 76 percent of total users.
- 355 Single-family outside residential = 19 percent of users.
- 62 Classified as commercial (inside).
- 05 Classified as commercial (outside) Water districts

Cost Evaluations:

If the operating expenditures were equally divided per the number of consumers, the cost per user for the city would be \$76.36 per month. This simplistic approach immediately proves unfair due to the fact that the average amounts of water consumed will vary among all users.

\$1,687,953.76 divided by 12 months divided by 1,842 connections = \$76.36

Believed as the highest priority regarding water costs, all consumers pay for those costs associated with the infrastructure that provides continued high quality, safe, clean drinking water.

When determining the cost for water, consumption should be the decisive reason and applied across the spectrum of users, (meter size and classification of the connection). This is accomplished by means of determining the price per unit and the amount of consumption per month. The intrinsic value associated with water service and the consumption of water during each billing cycle make up a fair rate for all customers.

Rates:

Water rate designs involve outlining charges necessary to generate a level of revenue to meet proposed budget forecasts for the water system. At this point, we reviewed the amount of water purchased and divided the new expenditure line to determine the cost associated with producing a single unit of water (1,000-gallons). Using the production numbers from 2020-2022 and applying those same amounts to the new fiscal year expenditures, provides a way for the price per unit that is used as a reference point to ascertain the effectiveness of new rates for the water department. See Table 2:

Table 2: Cost per Unit of Water Sold				
Annual Production of Water	Proposed Expenditures	Cost per 1000 gallons (1 unit)		
81,011 units (81,011,365 gallons)	\$1,687,953.76	\$20.84		

The monthly allowance of water is reviewed and weighed against the current rate structure and a suggestion will be recommended in this water rate study. The position will be elaborated to demonstrate the impact from an allowance of water.

Four (4) units of water are provided as an allowance in the current rate structure, the total expense incurred by the city would be \$83.36 ($$20.84 \times 4$) while the current rate is \$39.50 per month. A negative revenue is incurred for any user that consumes the four units.

The average usage (3.32 units - 3,320 gallons) has an actual cost associated with delivery of water is \$69.18, while the current charges for 3.32 units will be \$39.50, inside city limits SFR dwelling. If the four (4) unit allowance remains, the current monthly bill is \$39.50 or a negative revenue at \$29.68, showing an imbalance in the current rate structure. The discrepancies are only exacerbated as higher volumes of water are consumed. When the unit production cost (currently \$20.84) is more than the unit sold price, an adjustment in the rates is necessary.

One main interest (goal) within this study was the equitability of usage for all customers and their charges, respectively. Fairness across the user classification is often defined in a manner that low volume consumption should pay a fair share, while large consumers should not receive a volume discount.

Several methods to determine rates can be applied to a study, with the basic approach examining the base rates versus consumption (volume) rates. It is typically suggested that the base rate covers 60 percent-75 percent of the annual fixed expenses of the water budget, allowing the balance of revenues to be generated by what is termed a *volume rate*. The City of Manzanita should execute sound practices in this area as current base rates equal 55.02 percent of the adopted budget.

Existing Rates:

This first step provides a concise view of the existing rates (both base and consumption rates) which currently provides an indication of the overall revenues generated using current water rates. The City of Manzanita first priority request was discerning a comparable rate for low volume users, an upcoming increase of debt service and an overall equitable approach to water rates.

Discovered were the various base rates applied to the classification of users, formatted in larger monthly base rate for the larger service connections. The meter ratios provided with this water rate study will follow the suggested meter ratios during the 2014 rate study.

Preliminary Observations:

In this example, the emphasis is on both the base rate and per unit charge, consumption rate, and how the price per unit influences, plays a role in the total proposed budget. The increase in budgetary requirements to \$316,233.76 (originally \approx \$436K) was based on sustaining capital monies for the capital improvement projects (CIP) and debt for the next three years. This figure was adjusted downward to \$316K for capital outlay. Necessary for the selected projects was annual funding at \approx \$316K, which does not include using the \approx \$288K contingency funds.

As the above proposed budget was confirmed, a review of the base rates from respective classes of users indicated a skew in relevancy according to the size of the meter. The oversight in this approach is the comparison of the same sized metered connection, yet the application is dissimilar.

With a review of the consumption rate charge, a significant increase in the unit rate is required. If no decision is made to adjust the base rates according to the various classes of users; they remain the same, then a minimum charge per unit should be increased to \$24.50 per unit. The current consumption charge per unit is \$2.50 which creates approximately \$183,724.38 (10 percent) in revenues. Consumption rates with the current base are required to cover approximately 45 percent of the capital required with the adopted budget, hence a shortfall is created.

Attempting to align fixed expenses with base rates was mentioned earlier to meet a minimum of 60 percent of the adopted budget. Currently the base rates equate to 55 percent, or 5 percent below the average range. In order to establish a minimum base rate, and reduce the per unit charge of \$10.33, the monthly fee for SFR home will be raised to \$47.56 from \$39.50, an increase of \$8.00 per month. This increase for the SFR user (3/4-inch meter connection) will increase the total percentage of fixed revenues to 69 percent. This is accomplished by utilizing the meter multiplier for the larger service connections.

Meter Multiplier Base Rate:

Discoverable during the water rate study is a multi-level base rates, a) inside users and b) commercial users and c) outside users, which was provided in the 2014 water rate study, which provided a foundation for the 2023 water rate study. A comparative example is looking at SFR dwellings (one single home vs

apartment dwelling). The apartment would use less water as the footprint is much smaller. Even though the similarities are evident, the total amounts of water used are not.

The meter multiplier advocated for the City of Manzanita uses a standard that relates a monthly cost based on replacement of a meter and adjacent infrastructure over the life of the meter. Table 3 on the following page shows a comparison of both current and recommended base rates, a meter ratio applied to each of the sized meters.

The allowances (if applied) associated with the recommended rates will follow the same meter multiplier assigned to the base rates. A three-inch meter service will receive a substantial more allowance of water than the SFR, 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch meter, thus creating a fair approach to this structure. Currently only residential users are provided a water allowance. This information is offered as a means for consideration during future water rate discussions.

Using experienced approaches for community water systems, the base rate is calculated by establishing a rate for the majority of users (SFR) and centering the initial cost on the fixed expenses associated with the annual budget.

able 3: Current v	s Prop	osed Rates					<i>\$1,687,953.76</i>	
			Rate (Comparison	Cu	rrent vs Prop	osed	
		Current Ba	se Ra	ites				
	Res	sidential	Cor	mmercial		Outside	Meter Multiplier	Allowances
5/8"- 3/4"	\$	39.50	\$	39.50	\$	-		0
5/8"- 3/4" out	\$	-	\$	-	\$	49.25		4000
1"	\$	-	\$	55.00	\$	68.75	Currently Not	0.00
1 1/2"	\$	-	\$	70.75	\$	88.50	Used	0.00
2"	\$	-	\$	114.00	\$	142.50		0.00
3"	\$	-	\$	427.50	\$	-		0.00
4"	\$	-	\$	213.75	\$	-		0.00
6"	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	% of Budget	55%
							Allowance %	10.88%
C	urrent	Unit (Cons	umpt	ion) Charge	S			
Tiers Levels	P	er Unit						
One ¹	\$	2.50	\$	2.50	\$	3.25		Fill In from
Two			\$	-	\$	-		Existing
Three			\$	-	\$	-		Rates
Four			\$	-	\$	-		If Applicable
Five			\$	-	\$	-		
Six			\$	-	\$	-		
	•				•			
		Proposed B	ase R	ates			Meter Multiplier	Allowances
	Res	sidential	Cor	mmercial		Outside		
5/8"- 3/4"	\$	47.56	\$	57.07	\$	-	1.0 - 1	2000
5/8"- 3/4" out			\$	-	\$	68.48	1.0 - 1	2000
1"	\$	66.58	\$	79.90	\$	95.87	1.4 - 1	0
1 1/2"	\$	85.61	\$	102.73	\$	123.26	1.8 - 1	0
2"	\$	137.92	\$	165.51	\$	198.59	2.9 - 1	0
3"	\$	523.16	\$	627.79	\$	753.27	11.0 - 1	0
4"	\$	137.92	\$	165.51	\$	198.59	14.0 - 1	0
6"	\$	523.16	\$	627.79	\$	753.27	21.0 - 1	0
M	eter si	ze will dete	ermin	e base rate	2		% of Budget	70%
				tion) Charg			Allowance %	58%
Tiers Levels	Pe	er Unit ³						
One	\$	9.50	\$	9.50	\$	11.40		
Two	\$	11.00	\$	11.00	\$	13.20		
Three	\$	12.25	\$	12.25	<u> </u>	14.70		
udget includes i					. *	0	<u> </u>	

^{1 -} Current unit (consumption) rate is considered "flat" rate

^{2 -} American Water Works Association standard for meter multiplier (replacement costs)

^{3 -} Tier (consumption) rates have yet TBD

^{4 -} Beginning tier levels for 5/8"-3/4" meter are TBD

Consumption Rates:

With an attempt to set base rates to meet the fixed expense range of 60-75 percent of proposed budget, the newly recommended rate for a SFR dwelling would be \$47.56 or \approx \$8.00 higher per month. The focus now moves to the consumption side of this structure. Additional monies (30 percent) must be generated from the sale of water. When calculating the balance of required revenues from the per unit charge, minimum charge per unit was factored at \$12.00 (inside- user) and \$14.40 (outside user).

The minimum unit rate at \$12.00 per unit (inside) will deliver a balanced budget based on the \$1,687,953.76 proposed budget. Once again, looking at an average usage of 3.32 units of water for an SFR, an average water bill per month would be \$60.10, up from the current \$39.50.

To explain the impact of the various factors (base rates, consumption rates, allowances) in the structure, if the allowance were implemented into the water rates without consideration of the total cost per unit, total available revenues, an imbalance will likely occur. The imbalance would be discovered in the multiple various levels of consumption. Too high of an allowance can artificially make the total units available to be false. Too low on an allowance can create higher water bills than necessary. Attempting to blend water consumption against water conservation, allowances, again play a role that impacts total revenues.

The two points of imbalance for the City of Manzanita's water rates are a) the delivery cost at \$20.84 per unit and the charge rate at \$2.50 and \$3.25 per unit, b) a base rate that simply generates 55 percent of the budget, but should be closer to 65-70 percent, based on the number of service connections.

The current \$39.50 per month essentially covers 1.89 units of water, then any additional water is sold at a 90 percent discount to the production-delivery costs. For the City of Manzanita, two directions can be established, a) leave the current base rate and increase the consumption rate per charge from \$2.50 to \$12.00 for tier one. A three-tier increased block rate structure will be provided in the main report.

If adopting the new recommended base rates for SFR users, applying the meter ratios, the tier one per unit rate would be increased from \$2.50 to \$9.50 per unit.

The main body of the water rate study will be set on the criteria finalized by the City Council. Adjusting the base rates to meet fixed expenses, increasing the unit rate for balancing the budget will provide revenues that meet the adopted budget.

The single impact for water SFR dwellings will be the increase in the unit rate, as the allowance is lowered from 4 units to 2 units. Most people, (average monthly consumption 3.32 units) will see an increase of \approx \$15.00 per month. This will not take into consideration the summer months when average consumption can increase by 30 percent. An example of 5 units consumed per month will see a water bill of \$76.06 up from \$42.00. The total cost per month can be managed by applying conservation efforts to a multiple set of tasks. These increases are indicative of higher water consumption and in the event of using 12 units (12,000-gallons) the monthly rate can be \approx \$150.00 per month. These figures will not be the norm but are mentioned to bring awareness as to how the new rates will affect the users, but again inefficient water use will create additional costs.

Water Rate Study

Introduction:

In December 2022, the City of Manzanita authorized the Oregon Association of Water Utilities to review current water rates. The purpose of this study is to develop examples of financial strategies and rates that:

- Provide adequate revenue to meet the operation and maintenance costs, capital improvement costs, as well as review contingency funding.
- Determine and distribute costs among the various consumer types.
- Are relatively simple to understand and implement, being consistent with industry practices.

It is the Oregon Association of Water Utilities' privilege to provide this level of rate study assessment as a member service to the City of Manzanita. When conducting a rate study, the best results are based on the most accurate data obtained, equity among the consumers, and revenues that meet demands and allow the water system to operate per state regulations.

After careful review of the written materials provided by the city's staff, along with discussions with key personnel, some points are necessary to mention to maintain continuity, they are:

- Changes in necessary monies for capital improvement.
- Creation of a contingency fund for emergency purposes.
- Existing expenditures based on billing unit of 1,000 gallons.
- Monthly costs based on the number of active meter connections and 1,000-gallon units sold.

Current budget numbers regarding this rate study indicate that a modification in the existing water rates is necessary to create a fair and equitable structure. The last formal rate review was effective July 1, 2015, with routine informal adjustments made annually.

Reserves have been created for future capital replacement projects, contingencies, and for major maintenance and repairs. System Development Charges (SDCs) will not be part of this study, but it is recommended that they be reviewed on a regular basis.

A recommended contingency fund for emergencies may be 10 to 20 percent of the operational portion of the budget. The contingency for the City of Manzanita is seventeen percent of the 2022-23 budget, the original fiscal year the study is constructed on.

As the new fiscal year approached, the figures utilized, if unchanged, would apply to the 2023-24 fiscal year. These contingencies need to be expanded to compensate for an eventual emergency incident. It is advisable to carry unused contingencies not expended over to next year's working capital expense line item.

The following fiscal year set aside a new contingency figure for the next budget cycle. The City's water rate adjustment was adjusted in 2014 without any modification for the past eight plus years. Oregon Association of Water Utilities will recommend an annual adjustment based on the basket of services entailing water, sewer operations and maintenance.

Several water rates examples and options for the City of Manzanita's Council to review are included in this report. In addition to the general expectations of a water rate study, Oregon Association of Water Utilities considers policies, ordinances, and customer relations as factors in the development of water rates. Special interests, political climate, and an ease of understanding also play roles in the formation of rates.

Oregon Association of Water Utilities utilizes the information provided by the water system that is most pertinent when performing a water rate study. The information includes the existing/adopted budget that consists of revenues necessary for O&M, personnel, contingency, capital outlay, loan debt service, and loan debt reserve fund if required. We also consider policies, practices, resolutions, and ordinances that have been adopted from an operational view, not a legal review or opinion. The system figures are based upon as close an estimate as can be determined from the existing records and future needs as discussed and outlined in the proposed budget.

The \$316,000.00 CIP line-item budget was a median cost associated with projects outlined in groups A and B the capital improvement plans. As projects are completed, using the same budgeted dollars each year, unexpended dollars can be rolled into the upcoming fiscal year, while additional projects are chosen, listed for completion.

Using the above figures estimates the overall system charges for water will equate to \approx \$4.55 per 1,000 gallons, which can be averaged over the base rate, consumption rate or a combination of the two. Applying the CIP dollars to the consumption rate will prove the fairest method as consumers can control the water usage and manage their monthly water bills.

Table 1: Proposed Budget Information

Table 1: Proposed Budget Information		
Personnel and Materials Services:	\$911,974.00	54.03%
	Sub-total:	\$555,342.00
Contingency Reserve/Transfers: 1	\$288,746.00	17.11%
Capital Outlay: 1	\$316,233.76.00	18.73%
Annual Debt Service:	\$171.000.00	10.13%
	Total Expenditures:	\$1,687,953.76.00

Additional pertinent information is as follows: approximately 1,842 active connections with an approximate ninety plus percent of customers are classified single family residential (SFR). Also included in the calculation of rates is the amount of averaged water produced at approximately a) 81.01 million gallons (MG) or 81 K units (1,000-gallons) annually, b) amount of averaged water sold at 73.49 MG, or 73 K units, and c) amount of averaged unaccounted for water at 7.5 MG. The remaining unaccounted-for water at 9.28 percent is a significant achievement, as most public water systems strive for 15 percent or less unaccounted-for water.

While reviewing revenues and expenditures, the primary emphasis was directed at a) fair and equitable rates for all users, b) assure no single classified group supplements another group, c) low volume usage customers would maintain a relatively set monthly rate.

The concept of emphasizing annual short-term projects is in providing funding of maintenance for projects often tabled for a later time. This step coordinates completion of projects for the water system during the timeframes the City Council adopts resolutions for monies allocated for such. The City's approach to short-term (low cost) projects is balancing monthly revenues against necessary maintenance. These small projects, short-term CIP, should be considered paid for through the water rates.

Two factors hinder routine maintenance, a) monies necessary to complete smaller projects to upkeep the system, i.e., equipment services and b) time allocation of the existing crew, i.e., only enough time per day to complete existing tasks. Either a lack of funds and or no time for the crew to include routine maintenance causes a ripple effect that leads to reactionary maintenance, i.e., emergencies.

Annual production and delivery of water provides insight as to the efficiency of the water system when correlating deliverables against the total operating expenses. Viewed as cost per unit of water, 1,000-gallons, the water system can determine the actual system cost as it relates to each consumer in each billing cycle.

Table 2: Cost per Unit for Delivery

Table 2: Cost per Unit for Delivery						
Total Expenditures: Used in t	his study			\$1,687,953.76.00		
Water Produced: 81.01 MG (81,011) units					
Unaccounted for Water: 7.5	Unaccounted for Water: 7.5 MG (7,500) units					
	Average cost per single unit (1,000-gallons)					
Expense per gallon	\$0.02084	Current rate per 1,000 gallons		Cost Difference		
Expense per unit	\$18.34 ¹					
1- \$20.84 multiplied by 7,522 units of water would generate \$156K dollars annual, showing the importance of water management						

Table 2, Cost per unit for delivery is figured on a running average of all water produced over a given period. When water is not accounted for through meter readings, it is seen as a 100 percent loss associated with the expense to produce a unit. The exception to this is when operations can provide accurate water use for completing maintenance tasks. This water is then considered non-billable water used rather than unaccounted for water. Water that cannot be accounted for should be considered potential lost revenues, depicted in Table 2.

Rate structures vary from utility to utility, but generally include three elements. First, is consideration of the classification of customers served, i.e., residential, commercial, and industrial and the prospective costs differences. Second, all customers have an established frequency in billing, third, the schedule of charges will be identified and assessed.

For water utilities using a cost-of-service approach, the level of the utility's rates is a direct reflection of the utility's costs and customer's demands. A cost-of-service rate analysis develops water rates by assigning expenses to services that are provided. The above table outlines this approach to reveal how water deliverables affect the overall revenue required.

Setting the base rate per size of connection, multiply by the number of connections and then multiply by 12 (12 months/yr.) forecasts an amount that can be considered as revenue income to help ensure that most annual "fixed" expenditures are covered.

It is normally suggested that the base rate covers 60-75 percent of the annual water budget. This allows for the balance of revenues to be generated by what is termed a *volume rate*. The metered amount of water can be charged by a unit measurement in gallons or cubic feet. The meters, measured in 1,000-gallon units and a dollar amount can be charged per said unit.

In table 3, the City of Manzanita's revenues are derived from two factors, a) the size of the connection and an allowance of water given in the base rate, and b) the average monthly consumption per meter size, and the total approximate monthly cost. Revenues not earned from the monthly base rates; the volume (consumption) rate should create income to meet the total revenue requirements when added to

When developing a rate structure that meets the water system requirements, the rate study results, suggestions, and final decision to be fair to all customers will outline the following key points.

- Total revenues generated by base rates.
- Total gallons of water associated with the base rates.
- The price per unit establishes equitability among all consumers.
- Amount of available water for sale and the price per unit.
- Total revenues generated by volume (consumption) rates.

When these points are defined, Oregon Association of Water Utilities can utilize the gathered information, and apply it to various scenarios, providing a method to better understand the effects from an assortment of various rate approaches. A snapshot of revenues is found in Table 3: *Current Rate Information*. The table exhibits the monthly base rates, consumption rates and the expected monthly costs associated with average consumption. The figures are based on the comparison between the expected annual revenues against the newly adopted budget. The figures are not a reflection of the City operating at a shortfall, but a comparison of generated revenues against an adopted budget, one that is greater than previous years.

Table 3: Current Rate Information

2.0 Bulk

Total Connections

2

1,842

Total Annual Base 4

Total Annual Consumption ⁵

Combined Base and Consumption ⁶

Proposed Budget

Table 3: Current Rate Inj	formation					
Service Connections Size (in.)	# of connections	Allowance (Units) ¹	Base Rate	Unit Rate Cost	Average Consumption ³	Typical Monthly Cost
3/4 Residential	1,408	4	\$39.50	\$2.50	3.32	\$39.50
3/4 Commercial ²	32	0	\$39.50	\$2.50		NA
1.0 Commercial	20	0	\$55.00	\$2.50		NA
1.5 Commercial	1	0	\$70.75	\$2.50		NA
2.0 Commercial	2	0	\$114.00	\$2.50		NA
3.0 Commercial	2	0	\$427.50	\$2.50		NA
3/4 Residential - out	370	4	\$49.25	\$3.25	3.32	\$49.25
1.0 Commercial - out	3	0	\$68.75	\$3.25		NA
2.0 Commercial - out	1	0	\$88.50	\$3.25		NA
3.0 Commercial - out	1	0	\$142.50	\$3.25		NA

\$

\$

\$

\$213.75

928,725.00

(29,275.62)

899,449.38

\$1,687,953.76

\$3.25

53.29%

NA

¹⁻⁴ units = 4,000 gallons, 2-Commercial only, tier one price per unit at the onset of consumption, 3 – Average monthly usage – single family residential - SFR

^{4 –} Annual base is all monthly fees for all connections, minus revenues from water sales, 5 – Too much water is allowed in base rate, exceeds total water sold, 6-based only on new budget, not past budget(s)

Cost Evaluations:

If the total operating expenditures are equally segregated per the number of connections, the cost per connection for the City of Manzanita would be \$76.36 per month.

\$1,687,953.76 divided by 12 months divided by 1,842 users = \$76.36 per month

An important factor regarding water costs is consumers paying for those costs associated with the infrastructure that provides continued high quality, safe, clean water. The costs directly relate to water consumption. When determining the cost for water, equity centered on water consumption should be applied across the spectrum of users, (meter size and classification of the connection) and this is accomplished by means of determining the price per unit and the amount of consumption per month. The intrinsic value associated with water service and the consumption of water each billing cycle make up a fair and equitable rate for all customers.

Rate Study Approach:

Many diverse and competing models can be applied to any rate study, but when they are not well understood and evaluated, they can cause confusion among those that are affected by a change in the water utility rates. It is the goal of this water rate study to bridge revenues to expenditures and provide an informational tool for the City Council to draw on in selecting an appropriate rate structure, one that is easily adopted and understood by your customers.

Examples shown in this rate study are based on a single line budget to operate and maintain the City's water system. While there are many approaches to determining a monthly consumer's cost, this rate study that builds on a methodical style with the following points:

- Affordability Index rates allowed by the affordability index and historical monthly costs.
- System Data information relevant to the study.
- Existing Rates current revenues and expenditures, speculation of gains and losses.
- Multi-meter Tiered Costs Rate conservation mindset.

The varied points will show base rates established, what percentage of revenues is generated from said base rates, and how consumption charges make up any revenue deficits. Examples provide both an amount of water included in the base rate. As the examples are presented, it will become evident that no single method satisfies all the requirements for every community.

Alternative rate structures identify aspects in rate studies that assist in highlighting the dynamics of the water system. Although rate structures are generally composed of three components, a) classification of user, b) how often and c) how much, additional attention is centered on the structure's consumption charge. Typically, there are four basic types of consumption charges: declining block, uniform block, inclining block, and seasonal.

As rates are adjusted, policy rates are the responsibility of the utility decision makers. Even though public involvement is not required to design and approve water rates, it is important to keep the public relations door open by allowing for comment at a public meeting, and following proper procedures for adopting policies, resolutions, or ordinances. This should take place prior to adopting a rate policy by ordinance or resolution. The level of impact on the consumer, and the values and views of the decision makers play a

key role in sustaining rates that will meet the operation and maintenance of the City's water system, all the while maintaining and building customer trust.

Factors that affect actual total forecasted revenues include the following: water conservation, weather, economic conditions, number of actual billable customers, etc. These are mentioned points to consider when forecasting revenue needs to meet budgeted expenditures. As an example, a conservative decision may be made to adopt water rates that exceed expected revenues by ten percent.

The following information is designed to illustrate methods of approach that will expand the various examples and highlight specific points of relevancy. The focus of this water rate study is to build on all levels of understanding, create a fair and equitable approach for all consumers, and provide a rate structure frame for revenues for the water system to continue to operate.

Affordability Index:

One measurement of the impact of water cost for the median household incomes (MHI) of the area is the affordability index, a tool that federal and state agencies review to determine loan interest rates, loan fees, any percentage of principal forgiveness (if possible), loan repayment periods and the effect on the single-family residential user. These concerns may impact economically disadvantaged areas. For certain loan processes to continue, a review of the index may establish a pre-determined rate for a specific amount of water each month. For this rate study using the 2021 Median Household Income at \$55,730.00 and the 2022 Affordability Index of 1.25% (\$/Mo) for the 97130-zip code area, equates to \$58.05 for a monthly water bill. See Table 4

Table 4: Median Household Income – Tillamook County

Table 4: Median Household Income – Tillamook County									
Zip Code	Certified Population 2022 ¹	U.S. Census Population 2010	Annual Growth	MHI 2021 ²	2021 Affordability Index 1.25% ³				
97130 27,868 25,250 0.75% \$55,730.00 \$58.05									
1 – 2022 Annua	al Population -PSU-PRC o	does not reflect tourism trans	1 – 2022 Annual Population -PSU-PRC does not reflect tourism transient population, 2- US Census Bureau, 3- Entire Tillamook County						

Historical Rates:

With the initial onset of figures, the City of Manzanita water rates are \$39.50 base rate per month for a 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch service connection for all inside city single family residential (SFR) users. The City of Manzanita has a single consumption rate at \$2.50 per unit (inside city) and \$3.25 per unit (outside city) for all units of water purchased. The city does provide an allowance (4-units) with the base rate for residential entities. This structured format is labeled a multi-base rate with a flat block rate.

The last formal water rate study has been completed in 2014. A two-tier consumption rate was recommended in the 2014 rate structure which was not implemented. One recommendation relating to future annual adjustments is to follow the basket of services for water and wastewater maintenance

associated with the consumer price index. Since 2014, the last rate increase implemented, the average annual inflation rate for water and sewer services is 3.71^1 percent or approximately \$1.97 increase annually. This adjustment equates to the current monthly water base rate in 2023 would be \approx \$50.45

System Data:

Information compiled in the "System Data" spreadsheet (see next page) outlines those factors that influence the required monthly revenues based on the annual proposed operating budget. Water produced, water sold, and water losses are criteria that affect the rates charged. Relating the volumes of water to the operating expenses will define the cost per unit, at 1,000 gallons per.

The number of connections, the size of connections, and the monthly rates determine if a residual or deficit in revenues is associated with the current rate structure. One important factor to consider is the amount of water allowed at the base rate. All the information will relate to how much of the percentage of total expenditures is generated from the base rate. Consumption rates will be included in the existing rate spreadsheet. (See Table 5: System Data)

Table 5: System Data

Table 5: System Data				
Table	. Don't and	04.04	NAC	
	ns Produced	81.01		
Total Gal	lons Sold	73.49 MG		
Cost per Unit ((1,000 gallons)	\$20.84		
Base Rate	Revenues	\$928,725.00		
Total Operating Budget	\$1,687,953.76	% Total Budget	55.02%	

Additional information that relates to the initial review of the figures associated with the City of Manzanita's water rate study are:

- Current base rates are figured based on the number of service connections at 1,842.
- Current base rates equal 55.02 percent of the proposed budget (standard 60-75 percent).
- Proposed base rates will be applied using 62 percent of the proposed budget.
 - o SFR rates at \$47.56 for inside city users
 - Using a meter multiplier for larger service connections, base rates total 69.74 percent.
 - o The City serves 2 water districts which consume 15-30 percent of all water produced.
- Current "base rates" require all units of water to be sold at \$9.50 minimum.
- Proposed annual adjustments will follow the Consumer's Price Index (CPI).
 - Applying the baskets of services for water, wastewater operations and maintenance.
- Proposed consumptions will create a three tier levels increased block method
- Typical 3.3 units (3,300 -gallons) consumption per month = \$60.10, an increase from \$39.50

System Data spreadsheet:

1- https://www.in2013dollars.com/Water-and-sewerage-maintenance/price-inflation/2014-to-2022?amount=39.50

System Data Spreadsheet

System Data Sprea	lustieet				
Since 1977	Water Rate Study				System Data
Serving Oregons Serving Oregons Wastewater Systems	Cit	y of Manzar	nita	For Year:	2023-24
Water & Waster		,		Date completed:	October-23
All and			1		
Amount of Water Produced	Gallons (annual) 81,011,365	1000 gal units. (annual) 81,011	3 year average		
Amount of Water Foodded	73,489,752	73,490	Note		
Non-Revenue Water	7,521,613	7,522		9.28%	
	Dollars				
Personnel / Materials	\$911,974.00	-		4	
Contingency Debt Service	\$288,746.00	-	\$0.02084	Cost Per 1000 Gals 1	Cost Per 100 Cu.Ft.
Capital Projects ²	\$171,000.00 \$316,233.76	A - & - B	\$0.02064	\$20.84	\$15.59
Total Annual Budget	\$1,687,953.76		Non-Revenue Costs	\$ 156,720.42	\$ 117,226.8
	\$325,000.00				
Connection Information * Base Rate Only	Size		# of connections		
		Residential	Commercial	Outside/Commercial	
	5/8"- 3/4"	1,408	32	055	
	5/8"- 3/4" out 1"	12	3 20	355 3	No Charge =12
	1 1/2"		1		
	2" 3"		2 2	1	
	2" Bulk		2	I I	Total Connections
	5/8"- 3/4" 5/8"- 3/4" out 1" 1 1/2" 2" 3" 2" Bulk	Residential \$39.50	\$39.50 \$55.00 \$70.75 \$114.00 \$427.50 \$213.75	\$49.25 \$68.75 \$88.50 \$142.50	Base Rate Revenues ³
	2" Bulk 3" Bulk		\$213.75		\$ 928,725.0
					,
Residential Consumption Rate	Per 1000 gals.	\$2.50	\$2.50	\$3.25	
Commercial Consumption Rate	Per 1000 gals.		BULK RATE	\$2.75	
Operating Budget Outline	Personnel	/ Materials	\$911 974 00]	54 03%
Operating Budget Outline	Contir	/ Materials	\$911,974.00 \$288,746.00		54.03% 17.11%
Operating Budget Outline	Contir Capital	ngency I Outlay	\$288,746.00 \$316,233.76		17.11% 18.73%
Operating Budget Outline	Contir Capital Annual De	ngency I Outlay ebt Service	\$288,746.00		17.11% 18.73% 10.13% Base Rate % Total Cost
Operating Budget Outline	Contir Capital Annual De	ngency I Outlay	\$288,746.00 \$316,233.76		17.11% 18.73% 10.13%
	Contir Capital Annual De	ngency I Outlay ebt Service IG EXPENDITURES	\$288,746.00 \$316,233.76 \$171,000.00	consumption revenue	17.11% 18.73% 10.13% Base Rate % Total Cost
otes:	Contin Capital Annual De TOTAL OPERATIN	ngency Outlay bbt Service IG EXPENDITURES Percentage (\$288,746.00 \$316,233.76 \$171,000.00 \$1,687,953.76 of budget without any of	consumption revenue	17.11% 18.73% 10.13% Base Rate % Total Cost
otes: *- Figures taken from QTR 3 - usir 2 - Capital Projects figure taken from CIP \	Contin Capital Annual De TOTAL OPERATIN 1g 1,842 connections, not co 1 - Average cost to delive Worksheet, using list A and If	ngency Outlay Obt Service IG EXPENDITURES Percentage (Inverted units, late fees from ry 1,000 gallons of water to to In or a three-year average ad	\$288,746.00 \$316,233.76 \$171,000.00 \$1,687,953.76 of budget without any of "Rate Summary" document. the tap or \$0.0208 per gallon. ditional costs to the budget.	Rese	17.11% 18.73% 10.13% Base Rate %Total Cost 55.02%
otes: *- Figures taken from QTR 3 - usir 2 - Capital Projects figure taken from CIP \	Contin Capital Annual De TOTAL OPERATIN 1g 1,842 connections, not co 1 - Average cost to delive Worksheet, using list A and If	ngency Outlay Obt Service IG EXPENDITURES Percentage (Inverted units, late fees from ry 1,000 gallons of water to to In or a three-year average ad	\$288,746.00 \$316,233.76 \$171,000.00 \$1,687,953.76 of budget without any of "Rate Summary" document. the tap or \$0.0208 per gallon. ditional costs to the budget.	Rese	17.11% 18.73% 18.73% Base Rate % Total Cost 55.02%
otes: *- Figures taken from QTR 3 - usir 2 - Capital Projects figure taken from CIP \	Contin Capital Annual De TOTAL OPERATIN 1g 1,842 connections, not co 1 - Average cost to delive Worksheet, using list A and If	ngency Outlay Obt Service IG EXPENDITURES Percentage (Inverted units, late fees from ry 1,000 gallons of water to to In or a three-year average ad	\$288,746.00 \$316,233.76 \$171,000.00 \$1,687,953.76 of budget without any of "Rate Summary" document. the tap or \$0.0208 per gallon. ditional costs to the budget.	Rese	17.11% 18.73% 10.13% Base Rate %Total Cost 55.02%
otes: *- Figures taken from QTR 3 - usir 2 - Capital Projects figure taken from CIP \	Contin Capital Annual De TOTAL OPERATIN 1g 1,842 connections, not co 1 - Average cost to delive Worksheet, using list A and If	ngency Outlay Obt Service IG EXPENDITURES Percentage (Inverted units, late fees from ry 1,000 gallons of water to to In or a three-year average ad	\$288,746.00 \$316,233.76 \$171,000.00 \$1,687,953.76 of budget without any of "Rate Summary" document. the tap or \$0.0208 per gallon. ditional costs to the budget.	Capital Outlay	17.11% 18.73% 10.13% Base Rate % Total Cost 55.02%
otes:	Contin Capital Annual De TOTAL OPERATIN 1g 1,842 connections, not co 1 - Average cost to delive Worksheet, using list A and If	ngency Outlay Obt Service IG EXPENDITURES Percentage (Inverted units, late fees from ry 1,000 gallons of water to to In or a three-year average ad	\$288,746.00 \$316,233.76 \$171,000.00 \$1,687,953.76 of budget without any of "Rate Summary" document. the tap or \$0.0208 per gallon. ditional costs to the budget.	Rese	17.11% 18.73% 10.13% Base Rate % Total Cost 55.02%

Existing Rates:

The "Existing Rates" spreadsheet details much of the same information as the system data spreadsheet, yet expands the details on how the base rates, consumption rates and the allowance of water included in the base rate (if applicable) effect overall budget. Since the City of Manzanita provides a four-unit allowance for water at the base rate, the consumption charge begins once the allowance is consumed. This factor will reveal the amount of revenue (or potential revenue) and the overall effect on the total revenues generated from water sales. Aligning the base rate revenue with the consumption revenue will determine any overages or deficits of the current rate structure. Included at the bottom of the "Existing rate spreadsheet" are supposed residential figures of monthly rates supported by three hypothetical levels of monthly consumption.

Table 6: Existing Rates

Table 6: Existing Rates			
Total Connections		1,842 ¹	
Total Production of Water (1,000 gal.)	81,011	Sold Water (Annual 1,000 gal.)	73,490
Consumption Charge per Unit (1,000 gals.)	\$2.50	Total Billable Units	73,490
Base Rate Revenues ²	\$928,725.00	Revenue Percent	55.02%
Consumption Rate Revenues ³	\$(29,275.62)	Non-Revenue Water	7,522 units
Total Revenues	\$889,449.38	% Of Total Budget	53.29%
Total Proposed Budget	\$1,687953.76	Budget Shortfall	\$788,504.38 ⁴
	Find	lings	
Cost Per Unit	\$20.84 ⁵	Allowed Units	4 ⁶
Four Unit Cost	\$83.86 ⁷	Monthly Bill (4-units)	\$39.50

^{1- 2} outside water districts served, 2- Figure based on current base rates annual revenues, 3- Revenues based on remaining units sold at \$2.50 per unit, more units provided with allowance than is produced, 4 – Shortfall higher due to increase in budget 5 – Total budget divided by produced units, 6 – total units provided in the allowances for SFR inside/ outside city limits, 7 – Cost associated with allowed units delivered to the tap

Existing Rates spreadsheet:

cince 197									
Simon	V	Votor Doto Ctus	ds.						
Oregons	V	Vater Rate Stud	ц		Existing Rates				
Serving Oregons Serving Oregons Research Visitewater Systems		for		٦	•				
Water & Wash	City	of Manza	nita	For Year:	2023-24				
	City	OI Wanz	iiiita	Date completed:	October-23				
	Annual Gals	Annual Units							
Amount of Water Produced	81,011,365	81,011							
Amount of Water Sold	73,489,752	73,490		_					
Unaccounted for Water	7,521,613	7,522	9%						
	Dollars								
Annual Operating Budget	\$1,516,953.76								
Designated Reserves	\$171,000.00				Cost per 1,000 gallons				
Total Annual Budget	\$1,687,953.76		\$76.36		\$ 20.84				
Connection information	Size		# of connections	S	Cost per 100 Cubic Feet				
		Residential	Commercial	Outside/Commercial	\$ 15.59				
	5/8"- 3/4"	1,408	32	0					
	5/8"- 3/4" out	12	3	355					
	1"	0	20	3					
	1 1/2"	0	1	0					
	2"	0	2	1					
	3"	0	2	1					
	2" Bulk	0	2	0					
	3" Bulk	0	0	0					
Consumption w/ base				_	Total Connections				
Unit of Water = 1000 gallons ¹		4	0	4	1,842				
Current Rate information									
		Residential	Commercial	Outside/Commercial	Cost with Allowance				
	5/8"- 3/4"	\$39.50	\$39.50	\$0.00	\$83.34				
	5/8"- 3/4" out	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$49.25					
	1"	\$0.00	\$55.00	\$68.75	\$43.84				
	1 1/2"	\$0.00	\$70.75	\$88.50					
	2"	\$0.00	\$114.00	\$142.50					
	3"	\$0.00	\$427.50	\$0.00 \$0.00					
	2" Bulk	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$213.75 \$0.00	\$0.00					
	3" Bulk	\$0.00	φυ.υυ	φυ.υυ					
Consumption Charge	nor 1000 gala	¢2.50	\$2.50	\$3.25					
Consumption Charge	per 1000 gals.	\$2.50	\$2.50	\$3.25					
Current Base Revenue					Totalo				
Current Base Revenue	5/8"- 3/4"	Residential	Commercial	Outside/Commercial	Totals				
	5/8"- 3/4" out	\$55,616.00 \$0.00	\$1,264.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$17,483.75	\$ 56,880.00 \$ 17,483.75				
	1"	\$0.00	\$1,100.00	\$206.25	\$ 1,306.25				
	1 1/2"	\$0.00	\$70.75	\$0.00	\$ 70.75				
		\$0.00	\$228.00	\$142.50	\$ 370.50				
	2"		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		*				
	3"	\$0.00	\$855.00	\$0.00	\$ 855.00				
	2" Bulk	\$0.00	\$427.50	\$0.00	\$ 427.50 \$ -				
	3" Bulk	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-				
	Total/month 12 mo. Total	\$55,616.00	\$3,945.25	\$17,832.50	\$ 77,393.75				
Base Rate Totals				CO40 000 00	A 000 705 00 I				
0/ - 6	12 IIIO. TOtal	\$667,392.00	\$47,343.00	\$213,990.00	\$ 928,725.00				
% of operating budget	12 mo. Total	\$667,392.00 39.54%	\$47,343.00 2.80%	\$213,990.00 12.68%	\$ 928,725.00 55.02%				
% of operating budget	12 mo. Total								
		39.54%	2.80%	12.68%	55.02%				
% of operating budget Water with base charge	Total/month								
Water with base charge	Total/month 12 mo. Total	39.54% 5,680 68,160	2.80% 0 0	1,420	55.02% 7,100				
Water with base charge Total Water Included in Base Rate	Total/month	39.54% 5,680	2.80%	12.68% 1,420 17,040	55.02% 7,100 85,200				
Water with base charge Total Water Included in Base Rate Available Units Tier One	Total/month 12 mo. Total	39.54% 5,680 68,160	2.80% 0 0	12.68% 1,420 17,040 85,200	55.02% 7,100 85,200 -11,710				
Water with base charge Total Water Included in Base Rate	Total/month 12 mo. Total 12 mo. Total	39.54% 5,680 68,160 85,200	2.80% 0 0 115.93%	12.68% 1,420 17,040	7,100 85,200 -11,710 \$ (29,275.62)				
Water with base charge Total Water Included in Base Rate Available Units Tier One Available Units Tier Two	Total/month 12 mo. Total 12 mo. Total Poter	39.54% 5,680 68,160	2.80% 0 0 115.93%	12.68% 1,420 17,040 85,200	55.02% 7,100 85,200 -11,710				
Water with base charge Total Water Included in Base Rate Available Units Tier One	Total/month 12 mo. Total 12 mo. Total	39.54% 5,680 68,160 85,200	2.80% 0 0 115.93%	12.68% 1,420 17,040 85,200	7,100 85,200 -11,710 \$ (29,275.62) -1.73%				
Water with base charge Total Water Included in Base Rate Available Units Tier One Available Units Tier Two Non-Revenue Units	Total/month 12 mo. Total 12 mo. Total Poter 7,522	39.54% 5,680 68,160 85,200	2.80% 0 0 115.93%	12.68% 1,420 17,040 85,200 Not Applicable	7,100 85,200 -11,710 \$ (29,275.62) -1.73% \$ 899,449.38				
Water with base charge Total Water Included in Base Rate Available Units Tier One Available Units Tier Two Non-Revenue Units Average Usage	Total/month 12 mo. Total 12 mo. Total Poter	39.54% 5,680 68,160 85,200	2.80% 0 0 115.93%	12.68% 1,420 17,040 85,200	7,100 85,200 -11,710 \$ (29,275.62) -1.73% \$ 899,449.38 \$ (788,504.38)				
Water with base charge Total Water Included in Base Rate Available Units Tier One Available Units Tier Two Non-Revenue Units Average Usage Notes:	Total/month 12 mo. Total 12 mo. Total Poter 7,522 3.32	39.54% 5,680 68,160 85,200 Itial Lost Revenue	2.80% 0 0 115.93% • Cost Annual Ga	12.68% 1,420 17,040 85,200 Not Applicable	7,100 85,200 -11,710 \$ (29,275.62) -1.73% \$ 899,449.38 \$ (788,504.38) 53.29%				
Water with base charge Total Water Included in Base Rate Available Units Tier One Available Units Tier Two Non-Revenue Units Average Usage Notes: 1 - at 4 units allowed (residenti	Total/month 12 mo. Total 12 mo. Total Poter 7,522 3.32	39.54% 5,680 68,160 85,200 Itial Lost Revenue	2.80% 0 0 115.93% • Cost Annual Gauced by 11,700 units	12.68% 1,420 17,040 85,200 Not Applicable ain/Shortfall Typical	7,100 85,200 -11,710 \$ (29,275.62) -1.73% \$ 899,449.38 \$ (788,504.38) 53.29% Residential Water Bill				
Water with base charge Total Water Included in Base Rate Available Units Tier One Available Units Tier Two Non-Revenue Units Average Usage Notes: 1 - at 4 units allowed (residentical Allowance should be	Total/month 12 mo. Total 12 mo. Total Poter 7,522 3.32 ial only), more water is solvered to 2 units p	39.54% 5,680 68,160 85,200 Atial Lost Revenue is allocated than producer SFR, consider updates	2.80% 0 0 115.93% Cost Annual Gauced by 11,700 units ating meter multiplier	12.68% 1,420 17,040 85,200 Not Applicable ain/Shortfall Typical Tunits of Water	7,100 85,200 -11,710 \$ (29,275.62) -1.73% \$ 899,449.38 \$ (788,504.38) 53.29% Residential Water Bill Residential Water Bill				
Water with base charge Total Water Included in Base Rate Available Units Tier One Available Units Tier Two Non-Revenue Units Average Usage Notes: 1 - at 4 units allowed (residenti	Total/month 12 mo. Total 12 mo. Total Poter 7,522 3.32 ial only), more water is solvered to 2 units p	39.54% 5,680 68,160 85,200 Atial Lost Revenue is allocated than producer SFR, consider updates	2.80% 0 0 115.93% Cost Annual Gauced by 11,700 units ating meter multiplier	12.68% 1,420 17,040 85,200 Not Applicable ain/Shortfall Typical Units of Water 3.32	7,100 85,200 -11,710 \$ (29,275.62) -1.73% \$ 899,449.38 \$ (788,504.38) 53.29% Residential Water Bill Residential Water Bill \$39.50				
Water with base charge Total Water Included in Base Rate Available Units Tier One Available Units Tier Two Non-Revenue Units Average Usage Notes: 1 - at 4 units allowed (residentical Allowance should be	Total/month 12 mo. Total 12 mo. Total Poter 7,522 3.32 ial only), more water is solvered to 2 units p	39.54% 5,680 68,160 85,200 Atial Lost Revenue is allocated than producer SFR, consider updates	2.80% 0 0 115.93% Cost Annual Gauced by 11,700 units ating meter multiplier	12.68% 1,420 17,040 85,200 Not Applicable ain/Shortfall Typical Tunits of Water	7,100 85,200 -11,710 \$ (29,275.62) -1.73% \$ 899,449.38 \$ (788,504.38) 53.29% Residential Water Bill Residential Water Bill				

Preliminary Observations:

Expanding on "existing rates" using the figures provided by the city, some discoveries will be noted to enhance and support the methodology of a new rate structure. The figures discovered in the Preliminary Observation are focused on the SFR dwelling customer, who account for 90 plus percent of all users.

- The City of Manzanita produces nearly 6.12 MG monthly to supply the needs of the community.
- Approximately 9 percent of production water is considered "non-accounted" water which for water system operations exceeds State's guideline.
- This water equates to approximately 7,500 units (7.5 MG) of unaccounted (non-revenue) water that is produced yet does not reach its destination of a consumer's tap.
- By utilizing the current base rates, implementing a reduction in water allowance from 4 to 2 units, all water sold per unit should be increased to a minimum of \$24.50.
 - o Impractical SFR, two-units, total monthly cost for 3.3 units \$79.41.
- Contrasting current base rates against water allowances, base rates equate 55.02 percent of total budget while the allowances of water account for 57.58 percent of all available water.
- Current consumption rates using the flat tier structure combined with the base rates generate approximately 59.64 percent of the revenues necessary for the proposed budget.
- Average usage at 3.32 units (4 units allowed) shows the error in establishing the allowance of water.
- When using the number of service connections (1,842 total) and applying a base rate to match fixed expenditures (60-75 percent) the SFR monthly rate would be \$78.39 per month(too aggressive), established at the lower end of the range, then recommending a meter multiplier to the base structure.
- With current base rate revenues totaling 55.02 percent, by establishing the SFR (inside) meter service cost at \$47.56, then using the meter multiplier, new base rates will increase to ≈ 70 percent of proposed budget.
- If the new base rates (meter multiplier structure) are incorporated into the revenue equation, all consumption charge per unit should be increased to a minimum of \$9.50.
- The new proposed water rates for the City of Manzanita will match the current levels of consumption using three tiers, balancing the usage in line with the cost.
 - Consumers will have the ability to manage the monthly bill by using less water.
- The proposed budget will recommend reducing the water allowance to two units for SFR residential consumers, commercial and industrial users will remain at a zero allowance.
- Current rates explain the following:
 - Base rates are too low, should be in the range of \$46.00 \$57.00 from \$39.50.
 - Keeping base rates at \$39.50 would mandate unit charges increased to \$24.50.
 - If base rates are set at \approx \$47.56, (SFR) applying a meter multiplier:
 - Unit consumption charge would start at about \$9.50.
 - The above points discern the relationship between base and consumption rates.
- Preliminary Observations spreadsheet shows the current rate structure and revenues generated from both base and consumption rates, with notes further explaining the findings.

Preliminary Observation Spreadsheets:

Since 1977		Rate Study			Preliminary Observation
Serving Ores Systems Water & Wastewater Systems	City	for	nito	Far Vaar	2023-24
	City	of Manza	mita	For Year: Date completed:	2023-24 October-23
970	Gallons MG	Annual Units	Units / Month	·	
Amount of Water Produced	81,011,365	81,011	6,751		
Amount of Water Sold	73,489,752	73,490			
Unaccounted for Water	7,521,613	7,522	9%		
	Dollars				
Annual Operating Budget	\$1,516,953.76]			
Annual Debt Service	\$171,000.00	Monthi	y Cost per Coni	nection	
Total Annual Budget	\$1,687,953.76	Worldin	\$76.36	iection	
Connection information	Size	#	of connections	2	
Connection information	Oize	Residential	Commercial	Outside/Commercial	
	5/8"- 3/4"	1,408	32	0	Cost per 1,000 gallons
	5/8"- 3/4" out	12	3	355	\$ 20.84
	1"	0	20	3	Cost per 100 Cubic Feet
	1 1/2"	0	1	0	\$ 15.59
	2"	0	2	1	
	3"	0	2	1	
	2" Bulk	0	2	0	
	3" Bulk	0	0	0	
					Connections
Consumption w/ base (cu.ft.)		2	0	2	1,842
Current Rate (base)		Desidential	0	Outside/Commercial	
	5/8"- 3/4"	Residential \$39.50	\$39.50	\$0.00	ſ
	5/8"- 3/4" out	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$49.25	
	1"	\$0.00	\$55.00	\$68.75	
	1 1/2"	\$0.00	\$70.75	\$88.50	Cost at Base rate
	2"	\$0.00	\$114.00	\$142.50	\$41.67
	3"	\$0.00	\$427.50	\$0.00	Ψ+1.07
	2" Bulk	\$0.00	\$213.75	\$0.00	
	3" Bulk	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	3 Bulk	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	
Consumption Charge	per 1000 gals.	\$24.50	\$2.50	\$3.25	
Current Base revenue	5/0" 0/4"	Residential	Commercial	Outside/Commercial	Totals
	5/8"- 3/4"	\$55,616.00	\$1,264.00	\$0.00	\$ 56,880.00
	5/8"- 3/4" out	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$17,483.75	\$ 17,483.75
	1"	\$0.00	\$1,100.00	\$206.25	\$ 1,306.25
	1 1/2" 2"	\$0.00	\$70.75	\$0.00	\$ 70.75
	2" 3"	\$0.00	\$228.00	\$142.50 \$0.00	\$ 370.50 \$ 855.00
	3" 2" Bulk	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$855.00 \$427.50	\$0.00	\$ 855.00
	2" Bulk 3" Bulk			\$0.00	\$ 427.50
	Total/month	\$0.00 \$55,616.00	\$0.00 \$3,945.25		\$ 77,393.75
	12 mo. Total	\$667,392.00	\$47,343.00	\$17,832.50 \$213,990.00	\$ 928,725.00
	12 mo. 10tai	ψυσι,υσε.υυ	ψ-1,5-3.00	Ψ2 10,330.00	920,123.00
% of operating budget		39.54%	2.80%	12.68%	55.02%
Motor with hans share	Total/manth	2.040	0	740	2 F20
Water with base charge	Total/month 12 mo. Total	2,816	0	710	3,526
Typical F/9" Hoore (gale)		33,792	U	8,520	42,312
Typical 5/8" Usage (gals)	3,665	Residential			
Total Water Included in Base Rate	12 mo. Total	33,792	Commercial		
57.58%	12 mo. Total	33,732	Commercial 0	Other	Total Base Revenue
37.3078	12 mo. Total		<u> </u>	8,520	\$ 928,725.00
Available water to be sold	12 MO. 10tal			31,178	\$ 763,854.92
Consumption Revenues				100.27%	\$ 1,692,579.92
Notes:			Total Reven	ue Generated	\$ 4,626.16
	vided = 116% of avail	able water to be sold		in/(Shortfall)	0.27%
		R) should be lowered		•	Residential Water Bill
Allo					
	er unit cost would in	crease to \$24.50 per		Units of Water	Res. Water Bill
	er unit cost would in	crease to \$24.50 per		Units of Water 3.32	Res. Water Bill \$71.84
	oer unit cost would in	crease to \$24.50 per			

Meter Multiplier:

With the study, using meters as the primary method of setting water rates, we once again expand on key points to better understand the approach, the depth of using meter multipliers to establish base rates. Generally, meter ratios are designed from two separate theories, where meter multiplier cost ratios are used when assigning elements of costs specifically related to meters, and meter capacity ratios, are most often used when estimating the potential water demand requirements from a single customer.

Customer costs by equivalent meter-and-service ratios recognize that meter-and-service costs vary, depending on considerations such as size of service pipe, materials used, locations of meters, and other local characteristics for various sized meters as compared to 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch meter service. With a 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch meter being the starting point and using a one-to-one ratio, increasing the size of the meter increases those ratios as they relate to the cost for repair and replacement on the life of a meter and the infrastructure necessary to support said meter. Table 7 provides specific ratios.

Currently, the City of Manzanita has an established meter ratio in place, and the factors that verify the various monthly rates are undeterminable. The suggested meter ratios outlined in Table 7: Meter Cost Equivalencies/ Dollar Ratios will be a modification considered by the Council for the future. The ratios are constructed and found in American Water Works, Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges – M1.

Table 7: Meter Cost Equivalencies / Dollar Ratios

Table 7: Meter Cost Equivalen	cies / Dollar Ratios	
Size (inches)	Equivalent Cost Meter Ratio	Equivalent Dollar Ratios
5/8 - 3/4	1.1	\$1.00
1.0	1.4	\$1.40
1.5	1.8	\$1.80
2.0	2.9	\$2.90
3.0	11.0	\$11.00
4.0	14.0	\$14.00
6.0	21.0	\$21.00

Using Table 7, an example of a two-inch meter equivalency to the 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch meter correlates as being 2.9 times more costly to repair and or replace during the service life for the smaller meter. If a 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch meter service costs the consumer \$10.00 per month, then a two-inch meter has a monthly rate of \$29.00.

Using this approach in determining costs associated with various meter sizes places less emphasis on the distinction of class categorization, i.e., residential, commercial, or industrial. Even though the size of the meter is the focus in determining appropriate monthly base rates, increased demand from commercial and industrial users will warrant higher base rates. The classification of users will play a smaller role in rate settings applied with this study.

Another focal point using a meter cost ratio is when a water allowance is given as part of the monthly base charge; said allowances will increase proportionately with the cost ratios, especially as it relates to

the larger meters. All figures shown in this section will relate to the 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch meter (SFR) dwelling.

By applying the meter equivalency structure, this technique again merges two methods into a single set of rates. Setting the rate for a 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch meter and aligning the cost to meet 60-75 percent of total expenditures will automatically synchronize the larger meters and their respective monthly base charges. Using the meter-multiplier cost ratio, the city's efforts on routine rate adjustments will allow the meter multiplier to be applied to the existing 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch meter base rate as the start point.

Calculating all water provided in the base rate will better determine the amounts of available water to be sold. Water provided at the base rate is subtracted from the total water produced. Non-accounted-for water is also subtracted from the category of available water.

The meter multiplier begins at determining the base rates solely on fixed operating expenses which are typically 60-75 percent of a water budget. Applying a 62 percent foundation to the 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch meter, we see a monthly connection rate established at \$47.56, currently the monthly charge at \$39.50 dollars. The revenues collected from monthly base rates will affect the consumption rate per unit.

Using the meter multiplier approach to base rates, and applying the same theory to allowances of water, with the same ratios being implemented creates fair rates for all users. This point is noted in the study for future decision makers to consider as the water system financially evolves. Currently the City of Manzanita provides an allowance for water only for SFR users both inside and outside City limits.

Total base rate revenues obtained when the larger meters are formulated using the existing meter cost ratio increases base rate revenues up from 55.02 to 69.74 percent. The remaining 30 percent of the proposed budget will be generated by water sales. Table 8 Meter Multiplier Costs provide specifics as it relates to the implementation of new rates based for 90+ percent of the users, SFRs.

Table 8: Meter Multiplier Revenues

Table 8: Meter Multiplier	Revenues						
Total # of Connections	1,763	Allowance	2 units (2,000 gals)				
Base Rate	\$47.56 ¹	Annual Base Revenue	\$1,177,213.23				
Total Allowance	of Water (gals.)	42,312 (42	2.13 MG)				
Available Water	for Sale (gals.)	31,178 (31.17 MG)					
Required Balance of	\$381,625.80	Total Billable Units	40,517				
Revenues	3301,023.80	Total billable Offits	40,317				
Consumption Rate	\$9.50	Annual Consumption	\$384,915.05				
per Unit	33.30	Revenue	3304,313.03				
		Total Revenues	\$1,137,781.25				
Typical Monthly Cost	(5/8" meter) (gals.) ²	5.7 units (5,700 gals.) \$82.71					
1 – 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch meter serv	vice inside city limits, 2 – inside r	esidential users					

MM Cost Spreadsheet:

MINI Cost Spreadsnee					
Since 1977 OA Serving Oregon's Serving Oregon's Serving Oregon's Water & Wastewater Systems		Rate Study for			MM Cost
Water & Water	City	of Manza	anita	For Year:	2023-24
	Annual Units			Date completed:	October-23
Amount of Water Produced	81,011				
Amount of Water Sold	73,490		-		
Unaccounted for Water	7,522	9.28%			
Annual Operating Rudget	Dollars \$1,516,953.76				
Annual Operating Budget Annual Debt Service	\$1,516,953.76		# of connections		
Total Annual Budget	\$1,687,953.76	Residential	Commercial	Outside/Commercial	
Connection Information	Size				
	5/8"- 3/4"	1,408	32	0	
	5/8"- 3/4" out	12	3	355	
	1"	0	20	3	
	1 1/2" 2"	0	1 2	0	
	3"	0	2	1	
	2" Bulk	0	2	0	Total Connections
	3" Bulk	0	0	0	1,842
Consumption w/ base (gal.)		See Ui	nits Allowed (100	0 gals.)	
Units Allowed		Residential	Commercial	Outside/Commercial	Meter Multiplier In Use
2.0	5/8"- 3/4"	\$47.56	\$57.07	\$0.00	5/8" = 1.0
2.0 2.8	5/8"- 3/4" out 1"	\$0.00	\$47.56	\$68.48	3/4" = 1.1 1" = 1.4
2.8 3.6	1 1/2"	\$66.58 \$85.61	\$79.90 \$102.73	\$95.87 \$123.26	1 = 1.4 1 1/2" = 1.8
5.8	2"	\$137.92	\$165.51	\$198.59	2" = 2.9
22.0	3"	\$523.16	\$627.79	\$753.27	3" = 11.0
5.8	2" Bulk	\$137.92	\$165.51	\$198.59	4" = 14.0
22.0	3" Bulk	\$523.16	\$627.79	\$753.27	6" = 21.0
Consumption Charge	per 1000 gals	\$9.50			
Current Base Revenue		Residential	Commercial	Outside/Commercial	Totals
	5/8"- 3/4"	\$66,963.93	\$1,826.29	\$0.00	\$ 68,790.22
	5/8"- 3/4" out	\$0.00	\$142.68	\$24,310.40	\$ 24,453.08
	1" 1 1/2"	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$1,598.00	\$287.61	\$ 1,885.61
	2"	\$0.00	\$102.73 \$331.01	\$0.00 \$198.59	\$ 102.73 \$ 529.60
	3"	\$0.00	\$1,255.57	\$753.27	\$ 2,008.84
	2" Bulk	\$0.00	\$331.01	\$0.00	\$ 331.01
	3" Bulk	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$
	Total/month	\$66,963.93	\$5,587.30	\$25,549.87	\$ 98,101.10
	12 mo. Total	\$803,567.16	\$67,047.63	\$306,598.44	\$ 1,177,213.23
% of operating budget		47.61%	3.97%	18.16%	69.74%
Water with base charge	Total/month	2,816	0	710	3,526
_	12 mo. Total	33,792	0	8,520	42,312
Typical 3/4" Usage			entage of Allowed	Water	58%
Water Communities	42 mg T-4-1	Residential	Comm		
Water Consumption	12 mo. Total 12 mo. Total	33,792	Commercial 0	Other	Total Base Revenue
	12 mo. Total		U	8,520	\$ 1,177,213.23
Available Water to be Sold	31,178			42,312	\$ 296,188.64
Consumption Revenues					\$ 1,473,401.88
				ue Generated	\$ (214,551.88
Cost per 1000 gals	\$20.84		Annual Ga	in/(Shortfall)	-12.71%
lotes:					esidential Water Bill
			-	Gallons Used	Res. Water Bill
			-	3.32	\$60.10
			-	5.70 10.00	\$82.71 \$123.56
				10.00	\$1Z3.56

Increase Consumption Rate: (Ascending Blocks)

Various State agencies are placing rules on water systems and their operations that align sustainable practices to assist in efficient use of water. Oregon Water Resources Department has a rule that states, "A rate structure under which customers' bills are based, at least in part, on the quantity of water metered at the service connections". Currently, the City of Manzanita has a formatted structure that is deemed a "flat rate", stating one price per unit regardless of the quantities of water consumed. Increasing block rates are designed based on the customer classification determined by similar usage patterns. The design of the increased block rate will be categorized by the size of the meter. Each successive block rate "may" be applicable to a greater volume of water delivery than the preceding block(s). Not every block tier could be uniformed.

This style of rates requires applying a judgment and utility policy regarding the number of blocks, the point at which one block ends and the next block begins, and the relative price levels of the blocks.

An example of this structure is: four-inch meter service has a 14.0-1 ratio to a 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch meter. If a 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch meter is allowed four units of water per month in the base rate, a four-inch meter is allowed 56 units of water per month.

To eliminate the "judgement" factor for consideration in applying successive block volumes, each subsequent block(s) can be set in step with the previous block. The total number of tiers considered for an increase block formation will vary from one service provider to another, but normal design is configured using three tiers. The base rate and allowance of water reflect a representation of the actual usage that will determine the various set points of each block.

Conservative in nature, the focus towards water rates creates an incentive to save water. Understand that normal water consumption, if reduced by this approach, should later return to levels prior to the rate change. One facet regarding this method of setting water rates is the fact that the total revenues are calculated from the average consumption figures and not on the expectancies of greater water sales. Even though a water utility is in the water "business", the perception of many consumers is not aware of the fact that water sales sustain the utility.

Costs per unit are usually set according to actual usage of like groups. The group that usually sets the foundation will likely be the majority users, single family residences (SFR). In the analysis performed using the meter-multiplier example, proves if all available units can be sold at \$9.50 per unit, revenues will match the proposed budget.

The initial outline for a tier rate structure was to implement a tier format that is similar toward a tier that has proven positive with other entities yet simplify the structure. A four-tier structure is consistent with past rate structures, offering, a) adequate to curtail excesses usage, particularly when raw water sources are periodically diminishing, b) generate funds for both short and long-term projects, and c) be easily understood and interact with the current billing software.

Table 9 – Current vs Proposed Rates - displays a format that outlines the accepted base rates and allowances, for each classification of inside users.

Table 9: Current vs Proposed Rates

able 9: Current v	s Prop	osed Rates	'				\$1,687	,953.76
		ļ	Rate (Comparison	Cu	rrent vs Prop	osed	
		Current Ba	se Ra	tes				
	Re	sidential	Cor	nmercial		Outside	Meter Multiplier	Allowances
5/8"- 3/4"	\$	39.50	\$	39.50	\$	-		2000
5/8"- 3/4" out	\$	-	\$	-	\$	49.25		2000
1"	\$	-	\$	55.00	\$	68.75	Currently Not	0.00
1 1/2"	\$	-	\$	70.75	\$	88.50	Used	0.00
2"	\$	-	\$ 114.00			142.50	Oseu	0.00
3"	\$	-	\$	427.50	\$	-		0.00
4"	\$	-	\$	213.75	\$	-		0.00
6"	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	% of Budget	55%
							Allowance %	-1.73%
C	urrent	Unit (Cons	umpti	ion) Charge	s			
Tiers Levels	Р	er Unit						
One ¹	\$	2.50	\$	2.50	\$	3.25		Fill In from
Two			\$	-	\$	-		Existing
Three			\$	-	\$	_		Rates
Four			\$	-	\$	-		If Applicable
Five			\$	-	\$	-		• •
Six			\$	-	\$	_		
		Proposed B	ase R	ates			Meter Multiplier	Allowances
	Re	sidential	Cor	nmercial		Outside	·	
5/8"- 3/4"	\$	47.56	\$	57.07	\$	-	1.0 - 1	2000
5/8"- 3/4" out			\$	-	\$	68.48	1.0 - 1	2000
1"	\$	66.58	\$	79.90	\$	95.87	1.4 - 1	0
1 1/2"	\$	85.61	\$	102.73	\$	123.26	1.8 - 1	0
2"	F	FALSE	\$	165.51	\$	198.59	2.9 - 1	0
3"	\$	523.16	\$	627.79	\$	753.27	11.0 - 1	0
4"	\$	137.92	\$	165.51	\$	198.59	14.0 - 1	0
6"	\$	523.16		627.79		753.27	21.0 - 1	0
M		ze will dete			_		% of Budget	70%
		d Unit (Con					Allowance %	58%
Tiers Levels	· ·	er Unit ³	•					
One	\$	9.50	\$	9.50	\$	11.40		
Two	\$	11.00	\$	11.00	\$	13.20		
Three	\$	12.25	\$	12.25	\$	14.70		
udget includes					Ψ	14.70	1	

Budget includes maintenance at ≈\$285K

^{1 -} Current unit (consumption) rate is considered "flat" rate

^{2 -} American Water Works Association standard for meter multiplier (replacement costs)

^{3 -} Tier (consumption) rates set on minimum cost per unit

^{4 -} Beginning tier levels for SFR meter are established on allowances reduced to two units - See Table 10

Table 10 – Tier Structure provides the stair step arrangement for implementation of the recommended increased block structure per sized connection. The set stop / start points are based on two factors, a) the average usage by the majority of users (SFRs), and b) the set stop / start points based on the size of the service meter.

Table 10: Tier Rate Recommendations

			Table	2 10: Tier Rate	Recommendations	;				
Meter Size	Mo. Ba	se Rate	Allowances	With Base Rate	Tier One	Tier Two	Tier Three			
	T	1	\\/ith Doco	Mith Doco	I					
	Base	Rate	With Base Rate	With Base Rate	Tier One Range ¹	Tier Two Range ¹	Tier Three Range ¹			
				Inside Ci	ty Limits					
3/4" ^A	\$	47.56	2	2	2.1 - 5.0	5.1 - 10.0	10.1+			
1" ^B	\$	66.58	0	0	0.0 - 5.6	5.6 - 11.2	11.2+			
1.5" ^c	\$	85.60	0	0	0.0 - 7.2	7.2 - 14.4	14.4+			
2.0"	\$	137.91	0	0	0.0 - 11.6	11.6 - 23.2	34.8+			
3.0"	\$	523.11	0	0	0.0 - 22.0	22.1 - 44.0	44.1+			
						Residential per Un	it			
	Applie	ed tieradj	justments for in:	side city users	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25			
					(Commercial per Ur	nit			
					\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25			
				Outside C	City Limits					
3/4" ^A	\$	68.48	2	2	2.1 - 5.0	5.1 - 10.0	10.1+			
	* Applie	ed tier adj	ustments for ou	tside city users						
2"	\$	147.90	0	0	0.0 - 11.6	11.6 - 23.2	34.8+			
3"	\$	561.00	0	0	0.0 - 22.0	22.1 - 44.0	44.1+			
					Οι	itside Service Per	Unit			
					\$11.40	\$13.20	\$14.70			
1-structured	set start-st	ton noints fo	or each tier							
		• •	al 1,408, outisde -	total - 370						
B - total one i										
C - total 1.5 ir	nch service	connection	s - 1, 2 and 3- inch	connections are wa	ater districts, not includ	ed in the study				
* - Tiered rate	es for outsic	de users syr	nchronized with ins	ide user increases	, adjusted 20 percent hi	gher				

The following pages outline the following classification of consumers and the relationship to the budget:

- Total # of meters per class
- Percentage of revenues from the base rates
- Allowances for each block
- Price charged per unit at each block
- Typical monthly cost associated with various level of consumption
- Prospective total annual revenues
- Total percentage of revenues per class

Ascending Rate for Residential Consumers (Gallons)

										since 1977
			Co	nnection Info	rmation					
	Size	# of r	esidential c	onnection b	y size	Base	Rate Inform	ation		Serving Oregon's Serving Systems Seter & Wastewater Systems
		M	eter Cost M	ultiplier Fac	tor	_				Serving ewater Systems
	3/4"	1,408	1.00			\$47.56	Monthly Ba	se Revenue	W	ator & Waste
	3/4"	12	1.10			\$52.32				
	1"	0	1.40			\$66.58 \$67,591.72				
	1 1/2"	0	1.80			\$85.61				
	2"	0	2.90			\$137.92 Annual Bas		se Revenue		Total Proposed Budget:
	3"	0	11.00	Tot	al # \$523.16					
	4"	0	14.00	Conne	nections \$665.83 \$811,100.60			\$ 1,687,953.76		
	6"	0	21.00 1,420 \$99		\$998.75	48.0	05%			
	Tier 1 Tier 2 Tier 3								Consumption	Total Consumption
	Allow	Cost / 1K	Cost / 1K	Cost / 1K					Monthly Revenue	Monthly Revenue
3/4"	2.0	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	\$47.48	\$55.00	\$24.50	\$535.17	\$ 66,853.25
3/4"	2.0	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	\$47.48	\$55.00	\$24.50	\$620.40	\$ 78,100.00
1"	2.8	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	\$53.17	\$61.60	\$22.05	\$249.90	
1 1/2"	3.6	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	\$68.37	\$79.20	\$24.50	Consumption	Total Consumption
2"	5.8	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	\$110.14	\$127.60	\$142.10	Annual Revenue	Annual Revenue
3"	22.0	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	\$208.79	\$242.00	\$12.25	\$6,422.08	
4"	5.8	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	-\$0.06	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,444.80	
6"	22.0	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	-\$0.21	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,998.80	
			Change Le				ly Custome			\$2,156,918.98
3/4"	Included	5000	10000	12000	\$47.56	\$95.04	\$150.04	\$174.54		Base + Consumption
3/4"	Included	5000	10000	12000	\$52.32	\$99.80	\$154.80	\$179.30		Annual Revenue
1"	Included	5600	11200	13000	\$66.58	\$119.76	\$181.36	\$203.41	48%	\$811,100.60
1 1/2"	Included	7200	14400	16400	\$85.61	\$153.97	\$233.17	\$257.67	96%	\$1,613,339.58
2"	Included	11600	23200	34800	\$137.92	\$248.07	\$375.67	\$517.77	151%	\$2,550,539.58
3"	Included	22000	44000	45000	\$523.16	\$731.95	\$973.95	\$986.20	176%	\$2,968,019.58
4" 6"	Included				\$665.83	\$665.78	\$665.78	\$665.78		\$ 2,550,539.58
6"	Included		College	ain in releti-	\$998.75	\$998.54	\$998.54	\$998.54	Based on Tier 2	95.58%
			Gallons be	gin in relatior 1 Unit = 1		er multiplier				\$ 862,585.82
				1 01111 - 1	,000 0013.					

Ascending Rate for Commercial Consumers (Gallons)

										since 1971
			Cor	nection Info	mation					
	Size	# of r	esidential c	onnection b	y size	Base	Rate Inform	ation		or Oregons
		M	eter Cost M	ultiplier Fac	tor				76	Serving Oregon's Serving Systems Her & Wastewater Systems
	3/4"	32	1.00			\$47.56	Monthly Ba	se Revenue	Wa	ater & Was
	3/4"	3	1.10			\$52.32				
	1"	20	1.40			\$66.58	\$5,74	19.96		
	1 1/2"	1	1.80			\$85.61				ara l
	2"	2	2.90		\$137.92 Annual Base Revenue			Total Proposed Budget:		
	3"	2	11.00	Tot	al#	\$523.16				
	4"	2	14.00	Conne	ctions	\$665.83	\$68,9	99.48		\$ 1,687,953.76
	6"	0	21.00	6	\$998.75 4.09 %					
		Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3					Consumption	Total Consumption
	Allow	Cost / 1K	Cost / 1K	Cost / 1K	COST TO CONSUMER AT EACH TIER				Monthly Revenue	Monthly Revenue
3/4"	2	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	\$47.48	\$55.00	\$24.50	\$535.17	
3/4"	2	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	\$47.48	\$55.00	\$24.50	\$620.40	
1"	3	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	\$53.17	\$61.60	\$22.05	\$249.90	
1 1/2"	4	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	\$68.37	\$79.20	\$24.50	Consumption	Total Consumption
2"	6	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	\$110.14	\$127.60	\$142.10	Annual Revenue	Annual Revenue
3"	22	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	\$208.79	\$242.00	\$12.25	\$6,422.08	·
4"	6	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	-\$0.06	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,444.80	
6"	22	\$9.50	\$11.00	\$12.25	NA	-\$0.21	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,998.80	
3/4"	Included	5000	Change Le		\$47.56		ly Custome			\$108,462.3
3/4"	Included	5000	10000	12000 12000	\$47.56	\$95.04 \$99.80	\$150.04 \$154.80	\$174.54 \$179.30		Base + Consumption Annual Revenue
3/4 1"	Included	5600	11200	13000	\$66.58	\$119.76	\$181.36	\$203.41	4%	\$68,999.4
1 1/2"	Included	7200	14400	16400	\$85.61	\$153.97	\$233.17	\$257.67	7%	\$110,176.6
2"	Included	11600	23200	34800	\$137.92	\$248.07	\$375.67	\$517.77	9%	\$157,881.4
3"	Included	22000	44000	45000	\$523.16	\$731.95	\$973.95	\$986.20	11%	\$177,461.8
4"	Included	0	0	0	\$665.83	\$665.78	\$665.78	\$665.78	, 0	\$ 157,881.45
6"	Included	0	0	0	\$998.75	\$998.54	\$998.54	\$998.54	Based on Tier 2	6.53%
			_	gin in relatior			7	7	2.5.2.32	\$ (1,530,072.31
				1 Unit = 1		,				, ,

Ascending Rate for Outside Consumers (Gallons)

Connection Information	tion	
al connection by size	2	

			Co	onnection Inf	ormation					
	Size	# of re	esidential c	onnection b	y size	Base	e Rate Inform	mation		Serving Oregon's Serving Wastewater Systems Setter & Wastewater Systems
		Me	eter Cost Mi	ultiplier Fac	tor	_				Serving Orater Systems
	3/4"	0	1.00			\$47.56	Monthly B	ase Revenue	Tu.	& Waste
	3/4"	355	1.10			\$52.32				iter u
	1"	3	1.40			\$66.58	\$19,	432.86		
	1 1/2"	0	1.80			\$85.61				
	2"	1	2.90			\$137.92	Annual Ba	ase Revenue		Total Proposed Budget:
	3"	1	11.00	Tot	al#	\$523.16				
	4"	0	14.00	Conne	ections	\$665.83	\$233	,194.28		\$ 1,687,953.76
	6"	0	21.00	36	50	\$998.75	13	.82%		
		Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3					Consumption	Total Consumption
	Allow	Cost / 1K	Cost / 1K	Cost / 1K		COST TO	CONSUMER A	AT EACH TIER	Monthly Revenu	Monthly Revenue
3/4"	2	\$11.40	\$13.20	\$14.70	NA	\$56.98	\$66.00	\$29.40	\$642.21	\$ 20,801.05
3/4"	2	\$11.40	\$13.20	\$14.70	NA	\$56.98	\$66.00	\$29.40	\$744.48	\$ 24,095.28
1"	3	\$11.40	\$13.20	\$14.70	NA	\$63.81	\$73.92	\$26.46	\$299.88	\$ 10,701.60
1 1/2"	4	\$11.40	\$13.20	\$14.70	NA	\$82.04	\$95.04	\$29.40	Consumption	Total Consumption
2"	6	\$11.40	\$13.20	\$14.70	NA	\$132.17	\$153.12	\$170.52	Annual Revenue	Annual Revenue
3"	22	\$11.40	\$13.20	\$14.70	NA	\$250.55	\$290.40	\$14.70	\$7,706.49	\$ 249,612.64
4"	6	\$11.40	\$13.20	\$14.70	NA	-\$0.07	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,933.76	\$ 289,143.36
6"	22	\$11.40	\$13.20	\$14.70	NA	-\$0.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,598.56	\$ 128,419.20
		Tier	Change Le	vels		Mont	hly Custom	er Costs		\$667,175.20
3/4"	Included	5000	10000	12000	\$47.56	\$104.54	\$170.54	\$199.94		Base + Consumption
3/4"	Included	5000	10000	12000	\$52.32	\$109.29	\$175.29	\$204.69		Annual Revenue
1"	Included	5600	11200	13000	\$66.58	\$130.39	\$204.31	\$230.77	14%	\$233,194.28
1 1/2"	Included	7200	14400	16400	\$85.61	\$167.65	\$262.69	\$292.09	29%	\$482,806.92
2"	Included	11600	23200	34800	\$137.92	\$270.10	\$423.22	\$593.74	46%	\$771,950.28
3"	Included	22000	44000	45000	\$523.16	\$773.70	\$1,064.10	\$1,078.80	53%	\$900,369.48
4"	Included	0	0	0	\$665.83	\$665.77	\$665.77	\$665.77		\$ 771,950.28
6"	Included	0	0	0	\$998.75	\$998.50	\$998.50	\$998.50	Based on Tier 2	28.60%
			Gal	lons begin in	relationship	to meter mu	ultiplier			\$ (916,003.48)
				11	Jnit = 1,000	Gals.				

Annual Rate Adjustments:

The City of Manzanita has worked diligently during this water rate study in developing water rates that are both sustaining to the water department to perform the necessary operations, and also mindful of the consumers.

A viable alternative in keeping pace with inflation is comparing revenues against expenditures, looking at upcoming maintenance, minor upgrades, and the ability for tasks to be completed. A useful tool to assist in keeping pace with inflation is the "consumer price index" (CPI). The approach extracts specific costs associated with inflation that pertains to water and sewer operating expenses. This indicator provides an estimate of the buying power of the current dollar compared to previous years. Looking solely at water and sewerage maintenance prices and inflation stipulates specific costs as they relate to the previous year(s) and can be quite different from the overall CPI, or overall inflationary rate.

The link below offers the city a method to follow the CPI as it relates to water and sewer inflation and apply any adjustment to the base rate. The past ten-year cycle has averaged CPI is 3.81 percent annually.

https://www.in2013dollars.com/Water-and-sewerage-maintenance/price-inflation/2010-to-2020?amount=20

The City implemented a rate increase in 2015 and since has not adjusted the water rates. Pertaining to the timeline of 2015 through 2022 the annual CPI has been 3.56 percent annually or 27.71 percent over the timeframe. In 2015, the monthly charge for a SFR customer was \$39.50, which if adjusted annually to the above percentage, would have had a monthly base rate at \$50.45. The new monthly SFR monthly base rate adopted in 2023 will be \$47.56. The findings in this study provided direction in where the revenue shortfalls were stemming, thus the focus on the consumption rates acquired a higher priority.

To emphasize the importance of operational funding, key indicators that will amend the operational cost for the fiscal year is the listing of capital improvement plans to be completed within a given timeframe. The idea is to review a project task lists annually, rearrange the list from three perspectives, a) cost associated with the tasks matching dollars set aside for the given year, \$316K, b) dollars required to complete the tasks and c) the time necessary to complete said task(s), the time required is the ability for personnel and or contractor to complete said task in a recognized timeline.

Annually, this single budgeted line item will vary with each year's analysis, as projects are completed, tabled to the subsequent year, or rescinded. Employing a set figure for capital improvement planning maintains consistency in the budget. A major impact to budgeting is the inevitable large project that is usually projected over the timeline of a loan repayment program. Large projects are usually the component that increase rates significantly, causing uneasiness for most involved with establishing the annual budget.

If the monies for the annual capital improvement plan is unused at the end of the fiscal year, roll the remaining balance to the succeeding year allowing the balance to increase. As the smaller (dollar amount) projects are completed, larger more costlier projects become the targeted items, and with the idea of the monies rolled over to build for said larger projects. Table 11, Annual Rate Increases offers a speculative monthly base rate and consumption rate increase initiated for fiscal year 2024-25.

Table 11: Annual Rate Increases

City of Manzanita										Effective	e Ju	ly 1		
Monthly Water Rates	Ex	isting ¹	Pro	posed ²	Allowanc	e 3	2024 ⁴ 2025					2026	CPI ⁵	
5/8"- 3/4"	\$	39.50	\$	47.56	2000		\$	49.25	\$	50.95	\$	52.64	\$	1.69
5/8"- 3/4" - Commercial	\$	39.50	\$	57.07	NA		\$	59.10	\$	61.14	\$	63.17	\$	2.03
5/8"- 3/4" out	\$	49.25	\$	68.48	2000		\$	70.92	\$	73.36	\$	75.79	\$	2.44
1"	\$	55.00	\$	79.90	NA		\$	82.74	\$	85.59	\$	88.43	\$	2.84
1 1/2"	\$	70.75	\$	102.73	NA		\$	106.39	\$	110.04	\$	113.70	\$	3.66
2"	\$	114.00	\$	165.51	NA		\$	171.40	\$	177.29	\$	183.18	\$	5.89
3"	\$	427.50	\$	627.79	NA		\$	650.14	\$	672.49	\$	694.83	\$	22.35
2"-Bulk	\$	213.75	\$	165.51	NA		\$	171.40	\$	177.29	\$	183.18	\$	5.89
3"-Bulk	\$	-	\$	627.79	NA		\$	650.14	\$	672.49	\$	694.83	\$	22.35
Tiered Rates - In	\$	4.71												
Outside	\$	5.70	I	nside	Outside	!								
Tier One ⁶		NA	\$	9.50	\$ 11.4	0	\$	9.85	\$	10.20	\$	10.55	\$	0.35
Tier Two ⁶		NA	\$	11.00	\$ 13.2	0	\$	11.40	\$	11.81	\$	12.21	\$	0.40
Tier Three ⁶		Na	\$	12.25	\$ 14.7	0	\$	12.70	\$	13.15	\$	13.60	\$	0.45
L - Existing rates and pricing form	at cou	uld not be s	ubsta	antiated for	relevancy									
- Proposed rates use a meter m	ultipl	ier base rat	e on	size of serv	ice connectio	n, re	pla	cement cost	ofir	ıfrastructure	9			
? - Proposed rates account for inc	rease	e in debt se	rvice	(\$316K) for	the current t	imef	ram	ne and comp	letio	n of prioritiz	zed p	rojects		
3 - Allowance of water provided fo	or SFR	users, both	n insi	de and outs	ide									
l - Base rate annual adjustment (estab	lished on Co	onsu	mer Price Ir	idex, basket	ofser	rvice	es for water,	/was	tewater ma	inten	ance, see p	age 2	3
- CPI is one year in arrears, 2022	2-23 C	CPI at 3.56 p	erce	nt, specula	ting the same	fory	year	rs 2025, 202	6, ac	ljust annual	ly by	admin staff		
5 - CPI increase for 2023-2024 is \$	1.69	(3.56%) for	3/4-i	nch service	with meter r	atio	adju	usting larger	serv	ice connect	ions			
- Tiered rates for outside servic	e shoi	uld be 20%	highe	er than follo	wing same e	quati	ion 1	for the base	rate	s.				

Summary:

There are various arrangements that can be used to reach an acceptable water rate that meets budgetary requirements. The uniqueness of communities creates challenges that may or may not work from community to community. Whatever the cost associated with providing water from the source to the consumer's tap, usually varies from one water system to another. Water systems, though very similar in operational duties, differ more financially due to the diverse circumstances. The variables associated with other water systems sometimes will or will not apply to the City of Manzanita. A new water system completed without any debt owed is rarely seen. The age of a water system plays a bigger role in determining future cost since rebuilding is often more expensive than new development.

For the ease of understanding the conclusion in this water rate study, the average SFR user consumes ≈ 3,300 gallons or 3.3 units of water. With existing rates, the monthly bill to the customer would be:

- Base Rate \$39.50 (allowance of water = 4 units) Total monthly cost \$39.50
- Delivery cost 3.3 units at \$20.84 per unit = \$68.77

The above revenues and expenses are factored by using averages found throughout the study. A SFR customer using 3.3 units of water will see an incremental increase in the monthly cost, but a user consuming significantly more water, i.e., (9.000 gallons) will have a monthly cost associated with total water consumed. Average usages are figured per month on an entire year's basis. Collected data suggests that consumption can be 50 percent higher through the summer months, which creates a larger monthly water bill.

The importance of looking at the future regarding system growth and repair, or replacement of aging components, and determining an evaluation of costs can be difficult at times. Proposed costs are usually lower than actual costs due to unforeseen changes. It is important for public relations and communications to play a role in preserving consumer confidence in both water quality system operations and management.

Covered facts discovered in the initial assessment were two: a) the set base rates created in 2014 established a revenue criterion lower than fixed expenses, b) the set price in the unit charge for 1,000 gallons of water at \$2.50 per unit charge as compared to \$20.84 production-delivery cost per unit.

Being mindful of the upcoming water system improvements, and relaying the costs associated with chosen upgrades, the figure of \$316,000.00 was established as an annual line item. Two group of specific projects, related to diminishing system efficiencies amount to ≈\$948K dollars.

Table 12: Capital Improvement Planning

Table 12: Capital Improvemen	nt Planning						
Project Group A	Total Cost	Capital Construction Fund	Maintenance Fund		3 - Yr Average		
HWY 101 Phase 2	\$554,869	\$332,921	\$221,948				
Pelican Ln	\$220,968	\$132,581	\$88,387				
Winward Ln	\$226,548	\$135,929	\$90,619				
Decommission Reservior 2#		\$0	\$40,000				
		\$0	\$0				
Total:	\$1,002,385	\$601,431	\$440,954		\$146,984.67		
	\$200,477	\$120,286	\$88,191				
	\$1,202,862	\$721,717	\$529,145		\$176,381.60		
Project Group B	Total Cost	Capital Construction Fund	Maintenance Fund				
Nehalem Ave	\$268,483	\$161,090	\$107,393				
Sandpiper	\$242,118	\$145,271	\$96,847				
HWY 101 Phase 3	\$363,475	\$218,085	\$145,390				
		\$0	\$0				
Total:	\$874,076	\$524,446	\$349,630	\$ 790,584.40	\$ 263,528.13		
	\$174,815.20	\$104,889.12	\$69,926.08				
	\$1,048,891.20	\$629,334.72	\$419,556.48	\$948,701.28	\$316,233.76		

Tackling these projects over the next three-year timeline was the deciding factor for the increase to the annual budget. Taken from the capital improvement planning estimates are the list of projects selected.

Note: Projects are subjected to change due to unforeseen incidences.

The following chart is a hypothetical monthly cost associated with various levels of consumption. It provides a generic outline on specific levels of water consumption associated with routine usage coupled with the tiers established in the water rate study.

Chart: Water Usage – Hypothetical Monthly Cost

Water Consumption - Monthly Rate Comparison Connection Size 5/8-3/4 5/8-3/4 out 1.0 1.5 2.0 3.0 4.0											
Connection Size Base Rate Water Allowance		2	5/8-3/4 out	1.0 2.8	1.5 3.6	5.8	3.0 22	28			
Base Rate Water Allowance Base Rate		\$47.56	\$68.48	\$66.58	\$85.61	\$137.92	\$523.16	\$137.92			
Consumer Class		547.50	308. 4 8	300.38	363.01	3137.3Z	3323.10	\$137.3Z			
Residential		1408	12	0	0	0	0	0			
Commercial		32	3	20	1	2	2	2			
Outside		0	355	3	0	1	1	0			
Outside	Monthly	y Usage and H									
Tier Rates	Tier One	\$9		Tier Two	\$11	1.00	Tier Three	\$12.25			
Consumption Levels	Outside	\$11.40		1101 1410	\$13.20		1101 111100	\$14.70			
2.00		\$ 47.56	\$ 68.48	\$ 66.58	\$ 85.61	\$ 137.92	\$ 523.16	\$ 137.92			
3.32		\$ 60.10	\$ 81.02	\$ 71.52	\$ 85.61	\$ 137.92	\$ 523.16	\$ 137.92			
4.00		\$ 66.56	\$ 87.48	\$ 73.04	\$ 89.41	\$ 137.92	\$ 523.16	\$ 137.92			
5.70		\$ 82.71	\$ 103.63	\$ 89.19	\$ 105.56	\$ 137.92	\$ 523.16	\$ 137.92			
6.00		\$ 86.01	\$ 107.59	\$ 92.04	\$ 108.41	\$ 139.82	\$ 523.16	\$ 137.92			
7.00		\$ 97.01	\$ 120.79	\$ 103.04	\$ 117.91	\$ 149.32	\$ 523.16	\$ 137.92			
8.00		\$ 108.01	\$ 133.99	\$ 114.04	\$ 127.41	\$ 158.82	\$ 523.16	\$ 137.92			
9.00		\$ 119.01	\$ 147.19	\$ 125.04	\$ 138.41	\$ 168.32	\$ 523.16	\$ 137.92			
10.00		\$ 130.01	\$ 160.39	\$ 136.04	\$ 149.41	\$ 177.82	\$ 523.16	\$ 137.92			
12.00		\$ 154.51	\$ 189.79	\$ 158.04	\$ 171.41	\$ 196.82	\$ 523.16	\$ 137.92			
14.00		\$ 179.01	\$ 219.19	\$ 182.54	\$ 171.41	\$ 215.82	\$ 523.16	\$ 137.92			
16.00		\$ 203.51	\$ 219.19	\$ 207.04	\$ 215.41	\$ 237.82	\$ 523.16	\$ 137.92			
18.00		\$ 203.51	\$ 277.99	\$ 207.04	\$ 237.41	\$ 257.82	\$ 523.16	\$ 137.92			
20.00		\$ 252.51	\$ 307.39	\$ 256.04	\$ 261.91	\$ 281.82	\$ 523.16	\$ 137.92			
25.00		\$ 313.76	\$ 380.89	\$ 236.04	\$ 323.16	\$ 336.82	\$ 551.66	\$ 137.92			
30.00		\$ 375.01	\$ 454.39	\$ 378.54	\$ 384.41	\$ 391.82	\$ 599.16	\$ 156.92			
35.00			\$ 527.89		\$ 445.66			\$ 156.92			
		•	•			\$ 453.07	•				
40.00		\$ 497.51	\$ 601.39	\$ 501.04	\$ 506.91	\$ 514.32	\$ 694.16	\$ 251.92 \$ 299.42			
45.00		\$ 558.76	\$ 674.89	\$ 562.29	\$ 568.16	\$ 575.57	\$ 741.66				
50.00		\$ 620.01	\$ 748.39	\$ 623.54	\$ 629.41	\$ 636.82	\$ 789.16	\$ 354.42			
60.00		\$ 742.51	\$ 895.39	\$ 746.04	\$ 751.91	\$ 759.32	\$ 884.16	\$ 464.42			
70.00		\$ 865.01	\$ 1,042.39	\$ 868.54	\$ 874.41	\$ 881.82	\$ 994.16	\$ 574.42			
80.00		\$ 987.51	\$ 1,189.39	\$ 991.04	\$ 996.91	\$ 1,004.32	\$ 1,104.16	\$ 631.92			
90.00		\$ 1,110.01	\$ 1,336.39	\$ 1,113.54	\$ 1,119.41	\$ 1,126.82	\$ 1,214.16	\$ 741.92			
100.00		\$ 1,232.51	\$ 1,483.39	\$ 1,236.04	\$ 1,241.91	\$ 1,249.32	\$ 1,324.16	\$ 851.92			
120.00		\$ 1,477.51	\$ 1,777.39	\$ 1,481.04	\$ 1,486.91	\$ 1,494.32	\$ 1,544.16	\$ 1,071.92			
140.00		\$ 1,722.51	\$ 2,071.39	\$ 1,726.04	\$ 1,731.91	\$ 1,739.32	\$ 1,764.16	\$ 1,291.92			
160.00		\$ 1,967.51	\$ 2,365.39	\$ 1,971.04	\$ 1,976.91	\$ 1,984.32	\$ 1,984.16	\$ 1,511.92			
180.00		\$ 2,212.51	\$ 2,659.39	\$ 2,216.04	\$ 2,221.91	\$ 2,229.32	\$ 2,229.16	\$ 1,731.92			
200.00		\$ 2,457.51	\$ 2,953.39	\$ 2,461.04	\$ 2,466.91	\$ 2,474.32	\$ 2,474.16	\$ 1,951.92			
225.00		\$ 2,763.76	\$ 3,320.89	\$ 2,767.29	\$ 2,773.16	\$ 2,780.57	\$ 2,780.41	\$ 2,258.17			
250.00		\$ 3,070.01	\$ 3,688.39	\$ 3,073.54	\$ 3,079.41	\$ 3,086.82	\$ 3,086.66	\$ 2,564.42			

The following are recommendations:

- Continue to assess and prioritize smaller projects towards systems upgrades
 - Track costs against remaining balance from loan, reassess annually
 - Publicize key projects and the positive change for the water system
- Review CPI figures and adjust the "base rate" according to the inflation index for water and sewerage maintenance, using the single past year, or a 5, 10-year running average as the criteria
- When considering the 2024-25 budget adjustments, review the revenues associated with the new
 rates, apply the modification in the set points for each tier relating to the larger service
 connections found in Table 10, page 19.

It is recommended to begin tracking specific figures, in tallying up figures for water produced, water sales, unaccounted for water, monthly revenues and expenditures will begin to confirm that the "in theory" ideas presented in this study meet the "reality" of water system operational costs and revenues generated during the subsequent year(s).

The City has been proactive in understanding the mentioned items of sales, production, revenues, and expenditures, knowing the importance of the resource that is provided to its community. A tracking chart (example below) will be provided as a tool to assist the city to follow those key elements relating to water department revenues, expenditures, and actual water loss.

Chart: Water Tracking – Revenue and Water Loss

2023-24	H2O	H2O H2O		Total H2O	Unaccounted for	Water Dept	Water Dept	Mon	Monthly \$	
	Produced	Operations	H2O Sold	Difference	H2O	Revenue	Expenses	Difference		
		l Budget	\$	-	Monthly Reve	nue Goal	2023-24	\$ -		
		U	nits of measure ar	e either 1,000 gall	ons or 100 cubic feet (748-g	allons)				
Jul-23				-	#DIV/0!			\$	-	
Aug-23				-	#DIV/0!			\$	-	
Sep-23				-	#DIV/0!			\$		
Oct-23				-	#DIV/0!			\$		
Nov-23				-	#DIV/0!			\$		
Dec-23				-	#DIV/0!			\$		
Jan-24				-	#DIV/0!			\$	-	
Feb-24				-	#DIV/0!			\$		
Mar-24				-	#DIV/0!			\$		
Apr-24				-	#DIV/0!			\$		
May-24				-	#DIV/0!			\$		
Jun-24				-	#DIV/0!			\$		
Totals	-	-	-	-	#DIV/0!	\$ -	\$ -	\$		
Mo Average	-	-	-	-						
\$ per Unit	#DIV/0!					\$ -	\$ -	\$		

As collected evidence presents itself during the subsequent year, the Oregon Association of Water Utilities will return, if called upon, to review and confirm the effectiveness of the water rate structure. With

numerous considerations and decisions being calculated with this rate study, it is an objective of Oregon Association of Water Utilities to assist the City of Manzanita in water rates that meet the needs of the water system, provide fair and equitable rates for all consumers, and to ensure the water system is poised for future growth.